

#### prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### SECTION 1: IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE AND OF THE COMPANY/UNDERTAKING

#### 1.1 Product identifier

Substance name: Calcium oxide

Synonyms: Lime, Burnt lime, Un-slaked lime, Building lime, Calcia, Fat lime,

Chemical lime, Fluxing lime, Hard burnt lime, Soft burnt lime, Pebble

lime, Calcium oxide, Calcium monoxide, Quick lime, Calcined

limestone.

Please note that this list may not be exhaustive.

Chemical name and formula: Calcium oxide - CaO

Trade name: Weißfeinkalk CL 90-Q; Super 60 Weißfeinkalk CL 90-Q; Super 40;

Stückkalk, Branntkalk gemahlen, Branntkalk körnig,

CAS Number: 1305-78-8
EC Number: 215-138-9
Molecular Weight: 56.08 g/mol

REACH Registration number: 01-2119475325-36-0016

# 1.2 Relevant identified uses of the substance and uses advised against

#### Use of the substance:

The substance is intended for the following non-exhaustive list of uses: Building material industry, Chemical industry, Agriculture, Environmental protection (e.g. flue gas treatment, waste water treatment, sludge treatment), Drinking water treatment, Feed, food and pharmaceutical industry, Civil engineering, Paper and paint industry

# 1.2.1 Identified uses

All uses listed in table 1 of the Appendix of this SDS are identified uses.

#### 1.2.2 Uses advised against

No use identified in Table 1 of the Appendix of this SDS is advised against.

# 1.3 Details of the supplier of the Safety Data Sheet

Name: Märker Kalk GmbH Märker Kalk GmbH

Werk Harburg Werk Herrlingen

Address: Oskar-Märker-Str. 24 Weiherstraße 8

86655 Harburg 89134 Blaustein

Phone N°: ++49-(0)9080 8 0

Fax N°: ++49- (0)9080 8 653

E-mail of competent person responsible

for SDS in the MS or in the EU:

reach@maerker-gruppe.de

# 1.4 Emergency telephone number

European Emergency N°: 112

National Poison Centre telephone N°: ++49-(0)6131 19 240, Giftnotrufzentrale Mainz

Emergency telephone at the company ++49-(0)9080 8 0

Available outside office hours: \( \subseteq \text{Yes} \) X No



#### prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### **SECTION 2: HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION**

#### 2.1. Classification of the substance

### 2.1.1. Classification according to Regulation (EC) 1272/2008

Skin irrit. 2, H315

STOT SE 3, H335 - Route of exposure: Inhalation

Eye Dam. 1, H318

#### 2.1.2. Additional information

For full text of H-statements and P- phrases: see SECTION 16

#### 2.2. Label elements

#### 2.2.1. Labelling according to Regulation (EC) 1272/2008

Signal word: Danger

#### Hazard pictogram:





# **Hazard statements:**

H315: Causes skin irritation

H318: Causes serious eye damage H335: May cause respiratory irritation

# Precautionary statements:

P102: Keep out of reach of children

P280: Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection
P305+P351+P338: IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact

lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.

P302+P352: IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of water

P310: Immediately call a POISON CENTER or doctor/physician.

P261: Avoid breathing dust/spray

P304+P340: IF INHALED: Remove person to fresh air and keep comfortable for breathing P501: Dispose of contents/container in accordance with local/regional/national/

international regulation

#### 2.3. Other hazards

No other hazards identified.

The substance does not meet the criteria for PBT or vPvB substance according to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006, Annex XIII.

The substance not included in the Candidate List of substances of very high concern for Authorisation.

The substance is not identified as having endocrine disrupting properties in accordance with the criteria set out in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/2100 or Commission Regulation (EU) 2018/605.



#### prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### SECTION 3: COMPOSITION/INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS

#### 3.1. Substances

#### Main constituent

	CAS number	EC number	Registration	Identification	Weight %	Classification according to
			No	name	content	Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008
					(or range)	[CLP]
ĺ	1305-78-8	215-138-9	01-	Calcium	> 85 %	Eye Dam 1 H318
			2119475325-	oxide		Skin Irrit. 2 H315
			36-0016			STOT SE 3 (inhalation) H335

Hazardous impurities: Impurities above the concentration limit for classification or ≥ 1% (w / w) are not available.

#### **SECTION 4: FIRST AID MEASURES**

#### 4.1. Description of first aid measures

#### General notes

No known delayed effects. Consult a physician for all exposures except for minor instances.

#### Following inhalation

Move source of dust or move person to fresh air. Obtain medical attention immediately.

#### Following skin contact

Carefully and gently brush the contaminated body surfaces in order to remove all traces of product. Wash affected area immediately with plenty of water. Remove contaminated clothing. If necessary seek medical advice.

# Following eye contact

Rinse eyes immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice.

#### Following ingestion

Clean mouth with water and drink afterwards plenty of water. Do NOT induce vomiting. Obtain medical attention.

### Self-protection of the first aid

Avoid contact with skin, eyes, and clothing – wear suitable protective equipment (see section 8.2.2). Avoid inhalation of dust – ensure that sufficient ventilation or suitable respiratory protective equipment is used, wear suitable protective equipment (see section 8.2.2).

# 4.2. Most important symptoms and effects, both acute and delayed

Calcium oxide is not acutely toxic via the oral, dermal, or inhalation route. The substance is classified as irritating to skin and the respiratory tract, and entails a risk of serious damage to the eye. There is no concern for adverse systemic effects because local effects (pH-effect) are the major health hazard.

# 4.3. Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Follow the advises given in section 4.1

# **SECTION 5: FIRE FIGHTING MEASURES**

#### 5.1. Extinguishing media

# 5.1.1. Suitable extinguishing media

The product is not combustible. Use a dry powder, foam or CO<sub>2</sub> fire extinguisher to extinguish the surrounding fire.

Use extinguishing measures that are appropriate to local circumstances and the surrounding environment.



#### prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### 5.1.2. Unsuitable extinguishing media

Do not use water. Avoid humidification.

### 5.2. Special hazards arising from the substance or mixture

Calcium oxide reacts with water and generates heat. This may cause risk to flammable material.

# 5.3. Advice for fire fighters

Avoid generation of dust. Use breathing apparatus. Use extinguishing measures that are appropriate to local circumstances and the surrounding environment.

#### SECTION 6: ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

#### 6.1. Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

#### 6.1.1. For non-emergency personnel

Ensure adequate ventilation.

Keep dust levels to a minimum.

Keep unprotected persons away.

Avoid contact with skin, eyes, and clothing – wear suitable protective equipment (see section 8).

Avoid inhalation of dust – ensure that sufficient ventilation or suitable respiratory protective equipment is used, wear suitable protective equipment (see section 8).

Avoid humidification.

# 6.1.2. For emergency responders

Keep dust levels to a minimum.

Ensure adequate ventilation.

Keep unprotected persons away.

Avoid contact with skin, eyes, and clothing – wear suitable protective equipment (see section 8).

Avoid inhalation of dust – ensure that sufficient ventilation or suitable respiratory protective equipment is used, wear suitable protective equipment (see section 8).

Avoid humidification.

# 6.2. Environmental precautions

Contain the spillage. Keep the material dry if possible. Cover area if possible to avoid unnecessary dust hazard. Avoid uncontrolled spills to watercourses and drains (pH increase). Any large spillage into watercourses must be alerted to the Environment Agency or other regulatory body.

# 6.3. Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

In all cases avoid dust formation.

Keep the material dry if possible.

Pick up the product mechanically in a dry way.

Use vacuum suction unit, or shovel into bags.

#### 6.4. Reference to other sections

For more information on exposure controls/personal protection or disposal considerations, please check section 8 and 13 and the Annex of this safety data sheet.

#### **SECTION 7: HANDLING AND STORAGE**

# 7.1. Precautions for safe handling

# 7.1.1. Protective measures

Avoid contact with skin and eyes. Wear protective equipment (refer to section 8). Do not wear contact lenses when handling this product. It is also advisable to have individual pocket eyewash. Keep dust levels to a minimum. Minimize dust generation. Enclose dust sources, use exhaust ventilation (dust

# SAFETY DATA SHEET

prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

collector at handling points). Handling systems should preferably be enclosed. When handling bags usual precautions should be paid to the risks outlined in the Council Directive 90/269/EEC.

# 7.1.2. Advice on general occupational hygiene

Avoid inhalation or ingestion and contact with skin and eyes. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no drinking, eating and smoking at the workplace. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home.

# 7.2. Conditions for safe storage, including any incompatibilities

The substance should be stored under dry conditions. Any contact with air and moisture should be avoided. Bulk storage should be in purpose – designed silos. Keep away from acids, significant quantities of paper, straw, and nitro compounds. Keep out of reach of children. Do not use aluminium for transport or storage if there is a risk of contact with water.

### 7.3. Specific end use(s)

Please check the identified uses in table 1 of the Appendix of this SDS.

For more information please see the relevant exposure scenario, available via your supplier/given in the Appendix, and check section 2.1: Control of worker exposure.

# SECTION 8: EXPOSURE CONTROLS / PERSONAL PROTECTION

### 8.1. Control parameters

#### **DNELs:**

	Workers							
Route of exposure	Acute effect local	Acute effects systemic	Chronic effects local	Chronic effects systemic				
Oral	Not required							
Inhalation	4 mg / m³ (Respirable dust)	No hazard identified	1 mg / m³ (Respirable dust)	No hazard identified				
Dermal	Hazard identified but no DNEL available	No hazard identified	Hazard identified but no DNEL available	No hazard identified				

	Consumers							
Route of exposure	Acute effect local	Acute effects systemic	Chronic effects local	Chronic effects systemic				
Oral	No exposure expected	No hazard identified	No exposure expected	No hazard identified				
Inhalation	4 mg / m³ (Respirable dust)	No hazard identified	1 mg / m³ (Respirable dust)	No hazard identified				
Dermal	Hazard identified but no DNEL available	No hazard identified	Hazard identified but no DNEL available	No hazard identified				

# **PNECs:**



prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Environment protection target	PNEC	Remarks
Fresh water	0.37 mg / L	
Freshwater sediments	No PNEC available	Insufficient data available
Marine water	0.24 mg / L	
Marine sediments	No PNEC available	Insufficient data available
Food (bioaccumulation)	No hazard identified	No potential for bioaccumulation
Microorganisms in sewage treatment	2.27 mg / L	
Soil (agricultural)	817.4 mg / kg soil dw	
Air	No hazard identified	

**EU OELs:** 

8 hours limit value	1 mg/m³ respirable fraction
Short-term limit value (15 min)	4 mg/m³ respirable fraction

According to Directive (EU) 2017/164 of 31 January 2017

German National OELs for the substance CaO: TRGS 900, TRGS 402

8 hours limit value	1 mg/m³ (E)
Short-term limit value	2 (I) 15 min

Ireland National OELs for the substance CaO:

8 hours limit value	1 mg/m³ respirable fraction
Short-term limit value (15 min)	4 mg/m³ respirable fraction

UK National OELs for the substance CaO: MDHS14/3, COSHH ACOP

8 hours limit value	1 mg/m³ respirable fraction
8 hours limit value	2 mg/m³ inhalable fraction

# **General dust limit**

Country	Limit Value	Limit Excess factor	Legal Basis	Monitoring
		Short-term value		procedures
OEL Germany	1,25 mg/m³ (A) 8 h	2 mg/m³ (E) (II)	TRGS 900	TRGS 402
OEL Germany	10 mg/m³ (E) 15 min	2 mg/m (L) (n)	11.00 000	11100 402
OEL Ireland	10 mg/m³ inhalable 8 h			
OEL Ireland	4mg/m³ respirable 8 h			
OEL UK	10 mg/m³ inhalable 8 h		COSHH	MDHS14/4
OEL UK	4mg/m³ respirable 8 h		COSHH	MDHS14/4



### prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### 8.2. Exposure controls

Generation of dust should be avoided. Further, appropriate protective equipment is recommended. Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate. Please check the relevant exposure scenario, given in the Appendix/available via your supplier.

# 8.2.1. Appropriate engineering controls

If user operations generate dust, use process enclosures, local exhaust ventilation, or other engineering controls to keep airborne dust levels below recommended exposure limits.

#### 8.2.2. Individual protection measures, such as personal protective equipment

# 8.2.2.1. Eye/face protection

Do not wear contact lenses. Tight fitting goggles with side shields (frame goggles), or wide vision full goggles in accordance with EN 166:2001, at least optical class 2, mechanical strength F. It is also advisable to have individual pocket eyewash.

### 8.2.2.2. Skin protection

Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, dermal exposure has to be minimised as far as technically feasible. The use of protective gloves (nitrile (NBR) in accordance with EN ISO 374-1: 2018/type A or B (test chemical K, at least 0,2 mm thick)), protective standard working clothes fully covering skin, full length trousers, long sleeved overalls, with close fittings at openings and shoes resistant to caustics and avoiding dust penetration are required to be worn.

### 8.2.2.3. Respiratory protection

Local ventilation to control airborne dust levels below occupational exposure limits is recommended.

A suitable particle filter mask is recommended, depending on the expected exposure levels (low dust level: FFP1 mask; medium dust level: FFP2 mask; high dust level: FFP3 mask) - please check the relevant exposure scenario, given in the Appendix.

#### 8.2.2.4. Thermal hazards

The substance does not represent a thermal hazard, thus special consideration is not required.

# 8.2.3. Environmental exposure controls

All ventilation systems should be filtered before discharge to atmosphere.

Avoid releasing to the environment.

Contain the spillage. Any large spillage into watercourses must be alerted to the regulatory authority responsible for environmental protection or other regulatory body.

For detailed explanations of the risk management measures that adequately control exposure of the environment to the substance please check the relevant exposure scenario, available via your supplier.

For further detailed information, please check the Appendix of this SDS.

### SECTION 9: PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

# 9.1. Information on basic physical and chemical properties

a) Physical state: solid, powder

b) Colour: white or off white (beige)

c) Odour: odourless

d) Melting point > 450 °C (study result, EU A.1 method)

e) Boiling point: not applicable (solid with a melting point > 450 °C)



#### prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

**Revision date: December 2020** Printing Date: January 26, 2021

f) Flammability: non flammable (study result, EU A.10 method)

g) Explosion limits: non explosive

not applicable (solid with a melting point > 450 °C) h) Flash point:

i) Auto ignition temperature: not applicable to solids

j) Decomposition temperature: Decomposes at temperatures > 450 °C k) pH: 12.3 (saturated solution at 20 °C)

I) Viscosity:m) Solubility in water: not applicable (solid with a melting point > 450 °C) 1337.6 mg/L (study results, EU A.6 method)

n) Partition coefficient: not applicable (inorganic substance)

o) Vapour pressure: not applicable (solid with a melting point > 450 °C)

p) Relative density: 3.31 (study result, EU A.3 method)

q) Vapour density: not applicable

r) Particle characteristics:

mean particle size (d50), laser diffraction (ISO 13320:2020)

Weißfeinkalk CL 90-Q; S	Super 60 Weißfein	ıkalk CL 90-Q ; Super 40	5 – 20 w/w

Sieve residue, sieving (DIN EN 459-2)

7 3 \ 7	
Branntkalk gemahlen,	< 3 w/w on 4 mm
Branntkalk körnig	< 5 w/w on 0,4 mm
Stückkalk	20-65 mm , < 5% w/w on 10 mm
	0-15 mm, < 15% w/w on 0,5 mm

#### 9.2. Other information

Not available

# SECTION 10: STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

#### 10.1. Reactivity

Calcium oxide reacts exothermically with water to form Calcium dihydroxide.

# 10.2. Chemical stability

Under normal conditions of use and storage (dry conditions), calcium oxide is stable.

### 10.3. Possibility of hazardous reactions

Calcium oxide reacts exothermically with acids to form calcium salts.

#### 10.4. Conditions to avoid

Minimise exposure to air and moisture to avoid degradation.

# 10.5. Incompatible materials

Calcium oxide reacts exothermically with water to form calcium dihydroxide:

CaO +  $H_2O \rightarrow Ca(OH)_2 + 1155 \text{ kJ/kg CaO}$ 

Calcium oxide reacts exothermically with acids to form calcium salts.

Calcium oxide reacts with aluminium and brass in the presence of moisture leading to the production of hydrogen: CaO + 2 Al + 7  $H_2O \rightarrow Ca(Al (OH)_4)_2 + 3 H_2$ 

# 10.6. Hazardous decomposition products

# None.

Further information: calcium oxide absorbs moisture and carbon dioxide from air to form calcium carbonate, which is a common material in nature.



#### prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### **SECTION 11: TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION**

Information on hazard classes as defined in Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008

#### Acute toxicity

Oral  $LD_{50} > 2000 \text{ mg/kg bw (OECD 425, rat)}$ 

Dermal  $LD_{50} > 2500 \text{ mg/kg bw (calcium dihydroxide, OECD 402, rabbit); by read across these$ 

results are also applicable to calcium oxide, since in contact with moisture calcium

hydroxide is formed.

Inhalation no data available Calcium oxide is not acutely toxic.

#### b. Skin corrosion/irritation

Calcium oxide is irritating to skin (in vivo, rabbit).

Calcium dihydroxide is not corrosive to skin (in vitro, OECD 431). By read across these results are also applicable to calcium oxide.

### c. Serious eye damage/irritation

Calcium oxide entails a risk of serious damage to the eye (in vivo, rabbit).

### d. Respiratory or skin sensitisation

No data available. Calcium oxide is considered not to be a skin sensitiser, based on the nature of the effect (pH shift) and the essential requirement of calcium for human nutrition.

#### e. Germ cell mutagenicity

Calcium dihydroxide is not genotoxic (*in vitro*, *OECD 471*, *473 and 476*). By read across these results are also applicable to calcium oxide.

In view of the omnipresence and essentiality of Ca and of the physiological non-relevance of any pH shift induced by calcium oxide in aqueous media, the substance is obviously void of any genotoxic potential.

# f. Carcinogenicity

Calcium (administered as Ca-lactate) is not carcinogenic (experimental result, rat).

The pH effect of calcium oxide does not give rise to a carcinogenic risk.

Human epidemiological data support lack of any carcinogenic potential of calcium oxide.

#### Reproductive toxicity

Calcium (administered as Ca-carbonate) is not toxic to reproduction (experimental result, mouse). The pH effect does not give rise to a reproductive risk.

Human epidemiological data support lack of any potential for reproductive toxicity of calcium oxide. Both in animal studies and human clinical studies on various calcium salts no reproductive or developmental effects were detected. Also see the Scientific Committee on Food (Section 16.6). Thus, calcium oxide is not toxic for reproduction and/or development.

# h. STOT-single exposure

From human data it is concluded that CaO is irritating to the respiratory tract.

As summarised and evaluated in the SCOEL recommendation (Anonymous, 2008), based on human data calcium oxide is irritating to the respiratory system.

# i. STOT-repeated exposure

Toxicity of calcium via the oral route is addressed by upper intake levels (UL) for adults determined by the Scientific Committee on Food (SCF), being

UL = 2500 mg/d, corresponding to 36 mg/kg bw/d (70 kg person) for calcium.

Toxicity of CaO via the dermal route is not considered as relevant in view of the anticipated insignificant absorption through skin and due to local irritation as the primary health effect (pH shift).

# SAFETY DATA SHEET

#### prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Toxicity of CaO via inhalation (local effect, irritation of mucous membranes) is addressed by an 8-h TWA determined by the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL) of 1 mg/m³ respirable dust (see Section 8.1).

### j. Aspiration hazard

Calcium oxide is not known to present an aspiration hazard.

#### 11.2 Information on other hazards

#### 11.2.1 Endocrine disrupting properties

Available data for the substance have been considered against the criteria laid down in Regulations ((EC) No 1907/2006, (EU) 2017/2100, (EU) 2018/605) and found not to apply.

#### 11.2.2 Other information

None

#### **SECTION 12: ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION**

#### 12.1. Toxicity

#### 12.1.1. Acute/Prolonged toxicity to fish

LC<sub>50</sub> (96h) for freshwater fish: 50.6 mg/l (calcium dihydroxide) LC<sub>50</sub> (96h) for marine water fish: 457 mg/l (calcium dihydroxide)

### 12.1.2. Acute/Prolonged toxicity to aquatic invertebrates

 $EC_{50}$  (48h) for freshwater invertebrates: 49.1 mg/l (calcium dihydroxide)  $LC_{50}$  (96h) for marine water invertebrates: 158 mg/l (calcium dihydroxide)

### 12.1.3. Acute/Prolonged toxicity to aquatic plants

EC<sub>50</sub> (72h) for freshwater algae: 184.57 mg/l (calcium dihydroxide) NOEC (72h) for freshwater algae: 48 mg/l (calcium dihydroxide)

# 12.1.4. Toxicity to micro-organisms e.g. bacteria

At high concentration, through the rise of temperature and pH, calcium oxide is used for disinfection of sewage sludges

#### 12.1.5. Chronic toxicity to aquatic organisms

NOEC (14d) for marine water invertebrates: 32 mg/l (calcium dihydroxide)

# 12.1.6. Toxicity to soil dwelling organisms

 $EC_{10}/LC_{10}$  or NOEC for soil macroorganisms: 2000 mg/kg soil dw (calcium dihydroxide)  $EC_{10}/LC_{10}$  or NOEC for soil microorganisms: 12000 mg/kg soil dw (calcium dihydroxide)

# 12.1.7. Toxicity to terrestrial plants

NOEC (21d) for terrestrial plants: 1080 mg/kg (calcium dihydroxide)

### 12.1.8. General effect

Acute pH-effect. Although this product is useful to correct water acidity, an excess of more than 1 g/l may be harmful to aquatic life. pH-value of > 12 will rapidly decrease as result of dilution and carbonation

# SAFETY DATA SHEET

prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### 12.1.9. Further information

The results by read across are also applicable to calcium oxide, since in contact with moisture calcium hydroxide is formed

#### 12.2. Persistence and degradability

Not relevant for inorganic substances

# 12.3. Bioaccumulative potential

Not relevant for inorganic substances

#### 12.4. Mobility in soil

Calcium oxide reacts with water and/or carbon dioxide to form respectively calcium dihydroxide and/or calcium carbonate, which are sparingly soluble, and present a low mobility in most soils.

#### 12.5. Results of PBT and vPvB assessment

Not relevant for inorganic substances

#### 12.6. Endocrine disrupting properties

Available data for the substance have been considered against the criteria laid down in Regulations ((EC) No 1907/2006, (EU) 2017/2100, (EU) 2018/605) and found not to apply

#### 12.7. Other adverse effects

No other adverse effects are identified.

According to the criteria of the European classification and labelling system, the substance does not require classification as hazardous for the environment.

# **SECTION 13: DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS**

#### 13.1. Waste treatment methods

Disposal of calcium oxide should be in accordance with local and national legislation. Processing, use or contamination of this product may change the waste management options. Dispose of container and unused contents in accordance with applicable member state and local requirements. The used packing is only meant for packing this product; it should not be reused for other purposes. After usage, empty the packing completely.

If the packaging is not completely emptied and the rest of the CaO then comes into contact with water, heat generation can occur in these rare cases during setting which, in even rarer cases, could lead to the development of fires (see Section 10.5).

Empty always the packaging completely and dispose of it according to the above recommendation.

# **SECTION 14: TRANSPORT INFORMATION**

Calcium oxide is not classified as hazardous for transport [ADR (road), RID (rail), ADN (inland waterways) and IMDG (sea)]. Calcium oxide is, however, classified as hazardous for air transport (ICAO/IATA).

#### 14.1. UN-Number or ID number

UN 1910

### 14.2. UN proper shipping name

Calcium oxide

# SAFETY DATA SHEET

prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

**Revision date: December 2020** Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 14.3. Transport hazard class(es)

Class 8 (ICAO/IATA)

#### 14.4. Packing group

Group III (ICAO/IATA)

#### 14.5. Environmental hazards

None

#### 14.6. Special precautions for user

Avoid any release of dust during transportation, by using air-tight tanks for powders and covered trucks for pebbles.

# 14.7. Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL73/78 and the IBC Code

Not regulated.

#### **SECTION 15: REGULATORY INFORMATION**

# 15.1. Safety, health and environmental regulations/legislation specific for the substance

Other EU regulations: Calcium oxide is not a SEVESO substance, not an ozone depleting substance

and not a persistent organic pollutant

National regulations: None

#### 15.2. Chemical safety assessment

A chemical safety assessment has been carried out for this substance.

# **SECTION 16: OTHER INFORMATION**

# 16.1. Indication of changes

The SDS has been revised to comply with Regulation (EU) 2020/878 of 18 June 2020 amending Annex II to Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of REACH.

, 4.1 , 8.2.2.1 1.2 ; 2.3 , 8.2.2.2 , 8.2.2.3 , 9.1 r) , 11.2.1 11.2.2., 14.7

# 16.2. Abbreviations

EC<sub>50</sub>: median effective concentration  $LC_{50}$ : median lethal concentration  $LD_{50}$ : median lethal dose

NOEC: no observable effect concentration

OEL: occupational exposure limit

PBT: persistent, bioaccumulative, toxic chemical

PNEC: predicted no-effect concentration

STEL: short-term exposure limit TWA: time weighted average

vPvB: very persistent, very bioaccumulative chemical



prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### 16.3. Key literature references

Anonymous, 2006: Tolerable upper intake levels for vitamins and minerals Scientific Committee on Food, European Food Safety Authority, ISBN: 92-9199-014-0 [SCF document] Anonymous, 2008: Recommendation from the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL) for calcium oxide (CaO) and calcium dihydroxide (Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>), European Commission, DG Employment, Social Affairs and Equal Opportunities, SCOEL/SUM/137 February 2008

#### 16.4. Relevant H- and P-statements

#### **Hazard Statements**

H315: Causes skin irritation

H318: Causes serious eye damage H335: May cause respiratory irritation

# **Precautionary Statements**

P102: Keep out of reach of children

P280: Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection

P305+P351+P338: If in eyes: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact

lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.

P302+P352: IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of water

P310: Immediately call a POISON CENTER or doctor/physician.

P261: Avoid breathing dust/spray

P304+P340: IF INHALED: Remove victim to fresh air and keep at rest in a position

comfortable for breathing

P501: Dispose of contents/container in accordance with local/regional/national/

international regulation

#### Disclaimer

This safety data sheet (SDS) is based on the legal provisions of the REACH Regulation (EC 1907/2006; article 31 and Annex II), as amended. Its contents are intended as a guide to the appropriate precautionary handling of the material. It is the responsibility of recipients of this SDS to ensure that the information contained therein is properly read and understood by all people who may use, handle, dispose or in any way come in contact with the product. Information and instructions provided in this SDS are based on the current state of scientific and technical knowledge at the date of issue indicated. It should not be construed as any guarantee of technical performance, suitability for particular applications, and does not establish a legally valid contractual relationship. This version of the SDS supersedes all previous versions.

#### APPENDIX: EXPOSURE SCENARIOS

The current document includes all relevant occupational and environmental exposure scenarios (ES) for the production and use of calcium oxide as required under the REACH Regulation (Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006). For the development of the ES the Regulation and the relevant REACH Guidance have been considered. For the description of the covered uses and processes, the "R.12 – Use descriptor system" guidance (Version: 2, March 2010, ECHA-2010-G-05-EN), for the description and implementation of risk management measures (RMM) the "R.13 – Risk management measures" guidance (Version: 1.1, May 2008), for the occupational exposure estimation the "R.14 – Occupational exposure estimation" guidance (Version: 2, May 2010, ECHA-2010-G-09-EN) and for the actual environmental exposure assessment the "R.16 – Environmental Exposure Assessment" (Version: 2, May 2010, ECHA-10-G-06-EN) was used.



prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

### Methodology used for environmental exposure assessment

The environmental exposure scenarios only address the assessment at the local scale, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, for industrial and professional uses as any effects that might occur is expected to take place on a local scale.

#### 1) Industrial uses (local scale)

The exposure and risk assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions in the industrial stages mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH<sup>-</sup> discharges. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment only deals with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH<sup>-</sup> discharges at the local scale and is performed by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9 (In general, most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9).

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging calcium oxide solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. Discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised. The effluent pH is normally measured and can be neutralised easily, as often required by national laws.

# 2) Professional uses (local scale)

The exposure and risk assessment is only relevant for the aquatic and terrestrial environment. The aquatic effect and risk assessment is determined by the pH effect. Nevertheless, the classical risk characterisation ratio (RCR), based on PEC (predicted environmental concentration) and PNEC (predicted no effect concentration) is calculated. The professional uses on a local scale refer to applications on agricultural or urban soil. The environmental exposure is assessed based on data and a modelling tool. The modelling FOCUS/ Exposit tool is used to assess terrestrial and aquatic exposure (typically conceived for biocidal applications).

Details and scaling approach indications are reported in the specific scenarios.

### Methodology used for occupational exposure assessment

By definition an exposure scenario (ES) has to describe under which operational conditions (OC) and risk management measure (RMMs) the substance can be handled safely. This is demonstrated if the estimated exposure level is below the respective derived no-effect level (DNEL), which is expressed in the risk characterisation ratio (RCR).

For workers, the repeated dose DNEL for inhalation as well as the acute DNEL for inhalation are based on the respective recommendations of the scientific committee on occupational exposure limits (SCOEL) being 1 mg/m³ and 4 mg/m³, respectively.

In cases where neither measured data nor analogous data are available, occupational exposure is assessed with the aid of a modelling tool. At the first tier screening level, the MEASE tool (<a href="http://www.ebrc.de/mease.html">http://www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) is used to assess inhalation exposure according to the ECHA quidance (R.14).

Since the SCOEL recommendation refers to <u>respirable dust</u> while the exposure estimates in MEASE reflect the <u>inhalable</u> fraction, an additional safety margin is inherently included in the exposure scenarios below when MEASE has been used to derive exposure estimates.



prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# Methodology used for consumer exposure assessment

By definition an ES has to describe under which conditions the substances, preparation or articles can be handled safely. In cases where neither measured data nor analogous data are available, exposure is assessed with the aid of a modelling tool.

For consumers, the repeated dose DNEL for inhalation as well as the acute DNEL for inhalation are based on the respective recommendations of the Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL), being 1 mg/m³ and 4 mg/m³, respectively.

For inhalation exposure to powders the data, derived from van Hemmen (van Hemmen, 1992: Agricultural pesticide exposure data bases for risk assessment. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol. 126: 1-85.), has been used to calculate the inhalation exposure. The inhalation exposure for consumers is estimated at 15  $\mu$ g/hr or 0.25  $\mu$ g/min. For larger tasks the inhalation exposure is expected to be higher. A factor of 10 is suggested when the product amount exceeds 2.5 kg, resulting in the inhalation exposure of 150  $\mu$ g/hr. To convert these values in mg/m³ a default value of 1.25 m³/hr for the breathing volume under light working conditions will be assumed (van Hemmen, 1992) giving 12  $\mu$ g/m³ for small tasks and 120  $\mu$ g/m³ for larger tasks.

When the preparation or substance is applied in granular form or as tablets, reduced exposure to dust was assumed. To take this into account if data about particle size distribution and attrition of the granule are lacking, the model for powder formulations is used, assuming a reduction in dust formation by 10 % according to Becks and Falks (Manual for the authorisation of pesticides. Plant protection products. Chapter 4 Human toxicology; risk operator, worker and bystander, version 1.0., 2006).

For dermal exposure and exposure to the eye a qualitative approach has been followed, as no DNEL could be derived for this route due to the irritating properties of calcium oxide. Oral exposure was not assessed as this is not a foreseeable route of exposure regarding the uses addressed.

Since the SCOEL recommendation refers to respirable dust while the exposure estimates by the model from van Hemmen reflect the inhalable fraction, an additional safety margin is inherently included in the exposure scenarios below, i.e. the exposure estimates are very conservative.

The exposure assessment of calcium oxide professional and industrial and consumer use is performed and organized based on several scenarios. An overview of the scenarios and the coverage of substance life cycle is presented in Table 1.



prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

Table 1: Overview on exposure scenarios and coverage of substance life cycle

	Exposure scenario title	· (1)	lde use	ntifie es	ed	Resultin g life cycle stage	tified Use			Process	Article	Environmental
ES number			Formulation	End use	Consumer	Service life (for articles)	Linked to Identified		Catagory (PC)	category	categor y (AC)	release category (ERC)
9.1	Manufacture and industrial uses of aqueous solutions of lime substances	х	x	X		x	1	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 10b, 11a, 11b
9.2	Manufacture and industrial uses of low dusty solids/powders of lime substances	х	x	X		х	2	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 10b, 11a, 11b
9.3	Manufacture and industrial uses of medium dusty solids/powders of lime substances	х	x	X		х	3	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 10b, 11a, 11b



prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

								8	undary 20, 2021			
			Ide	ntifie es	ed	Resultin g life cycle stage	ified Use			Process	Article	Environmental
ES number	Exposure scenario title	Manufacture	Formulation	End use	Consumer	Service life (for articles)	Linked to Identified	Sector of use category (SU)	Chemical Product Category (PC)	category (PROC)	categor y (AC)	release category (ERC)
9.4	Manufacture and industrial uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances	x	×	X		х	4	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38,	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 11a
9.5	Manufacture and industrial uses of massive objects containing lime substances	Х	X	X		x	5	3; 1, 2a, 2b, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	6, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d, 7, 12a, 12b, 10a, 10b, 11a, 11b
9.6	Professional uses of aqueous solutions of lime substances		х	Х		Х	6	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f
9.7	Professional uses of low dusty solids/powders of lime substances		x	X		х	7	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f



prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

			Ide	ntifie s		Resultin g life cycle stage	to Identified Use			Process	Article	Environmental
ES number	Exposure scenario title	Manufacture	Formulation	End use	Consumer	Service life (for articles)	Linked to Iden	Sector of use category (SU)	Chemical Product Category (PC)	category (PROC)	categor y (AC)	release category (ERC)
9.8	Professional uses of medium dusty solids/powders of lime substances		x	X		Х	8	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 25, 26	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f, 9a, 9b
9.9	Professional uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances		×	X		X	9	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24	1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9a, 9b, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40	2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 25, 26	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f
9.10	Professional use of lime substances in soil treatment		х	Х			10	22	9b	5, 8b, 11, 26		2, 8a, 8b, 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f
9.11	Professional uses of articles/container s containing lime substances			X		Х	11	22; 1, 5, 6a, 6b, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 24		0, 21, 24, 25	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13	10a, 11a, 11b, 12a, 12b



prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN

									undary 20, 2021			
			lde: use	ntifie es	ed	Resultin g life cycle stage	tified Use			Process	Article	Environmental
ES number	Exposure scenario title	Manufacture	Formulation	End use	Consumer	Service life (for articles)	Linked to Identified Use	Sector of use category (SU)	Chemical Product Category (PC)	category (PROC)	categor y (AC)	release category (ERC)
9.12	Consumer use of building and construction material (DIY)				Х		x	21	9b, 9a			8
9.13	Consumer use of CO <sub>2</sub> absorbent in breathing apparatuses				х		x	21	2			8
9.14	Consumer use of garden lime/fertilizer				Х		х	21	20, 12			8e
9.15	Consumer use of lime substances as water treatment chemicals in aquaria				X		×	21	20, 37			8
9.16	Consumer use of cosmetics containing lime substances				Х		X	21	39			8



prepared in accordance with Regulation EC 1907/2006

Version: 5.0 / EN



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.1: Manufacture and industrial uses of aqueous solutions of lime substances

Exposure Scenario	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	it by workers			
1. Title					
Free short title	Manufacture and industrial uses of a	queous solutions of lime substances			
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU14, SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40 AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)				
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.			
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is ba	sed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.			
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures				
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC 1	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure				
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure				
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)				
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises				
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)				
PROC 7	Industrial spraying				
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities				
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities				
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).			
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	2335/1910/ 335/0/1/ (E0177-2010-0-03-E11).			
PROC 12	Use of blowing agents in manufacture of foam				
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring				
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation				
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent				
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected				
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process				
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions				
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available				
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of industrial uses				



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Wide-dispersive outdoor and indoor use of longlife articles and materials

#### 2.1 Control of workers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential. The spraying of aqueous solutions (PROC7 and 11) is assumed to be involved with a medium emission.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 7	not res	stricted	aqueous solution	medium
All other applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	aqueous solution	very low

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

#### Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure
PROC 7	≤ 240 minutes
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)

#### Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

#### Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Since aqueous solutions are not used in hot-metallurgical processes, operational conditions (e.g. process temperature and process pressure) are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes.

# Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

### Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 7	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission source is indicated	local exhaust ventilation	78 %	-
PROC 19	above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be	not applicable	na	-
All other applicable PROCs	achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	not required	na	-

# Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Conditions and measur	Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation					
PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)		
PROC 7	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be		
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.		

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE.

For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

#### **Amounts used**

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure.

#### Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m³/day

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day

### Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.

#### Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.001 – 0.66)	skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T	classified as irritating to as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is exposure scenario.

#### **Environmental exposure**

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of lime substance in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that lime substance will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to air are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of lime substance. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9.

Environmental emissions	The production of lime substance can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the lime substance concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not neutralised, the discharge of effluent from lime substance production sites may impact the pH in the receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised easily as often required by national laws.
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Waste water from lime substance production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from lime substance production sites will normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	When lime substance is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion (CO32-).
Exposure concentration in sediments	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for lime substance: when lime substance is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption of to sediment particles is negligible.
Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to be relevant.
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for lime substance: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, lime substance is neutralised as a result of its reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g. calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised lime substance largely end up in soil and water.
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for lime substance: a risk assessment for secondary poisoning is therefore not required.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

#### **Occupational exposure**

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

# DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

#### **Environmental exposure**

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

**Tier 1**: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the lime substance on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

**Tier 2a**: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \left[ \frac{Qeffluent*10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream*10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} \right]$$
(Eq. 1)

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m³/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

pH effluent refers to the pH of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.

Tier 2b: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the lime substance.


**Tier 3**: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021





Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.2: Manufacture and industrial uses of low dusty solids/powders of lime substances

Exposure Seeperie	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	it by workers			
1. Title	or ormat (1) addressing uses carried of	at by workers			
Free short title	Manufacture and industrial uses of low o	dusty solids/powders of lime substances			
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU1 SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24  PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40  AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)				
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.			
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is ba	sed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.			
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures				
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC 1	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure				
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure				
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)				
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises				
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)				
PROC 6	Calendering operations				
PROC 7	Industrial spraying				
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities				
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities				
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use			
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).			
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring				
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation				
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent				
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected				
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process				
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions				
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available				
PROC 21	Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles				
PROC 22	Potentially closed processing operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature Industrial setting				
PROC 23	Open processing and transfer operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature				



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

PROC 24	High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature
PROC 27a	Production of metal powders (hot processes)
PROC 27b	Production of metal powders (wet processes)
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of industrial uses
ERC 10, 11	Wide-dispersive outdoor and indoor use of long- life articles and materials

#### 2.1 Control of workers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 22, 23, 25, 27a	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
PROC 24	not restricted		solid/powder	high
All other applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	solid/powder	low

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

#### Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure	
PROC 22	≤ 240 minutes	
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)	

# Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

# Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

#### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

# Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information	
PROC 7, 17, 18	Any potentially required separation of workers	general ventilation	17 %	-	
PROC 19	from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example,	not applicable	na	-	
PROC 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a		local exhaust ventilation	78 %	-	
All other applicable PROCs		not required	na	-	



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant		
exposure.		

#### Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

#### Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 22, 24, 27a	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium ovide is	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE.

For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

#### **Amounts used**

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure.

#### Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release

# Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m<sup>3</sup>/day

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day

#### Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.

#### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	MEASE	<1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.83)	skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A	classified as irritating to as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is exposure scenario.

#### **Environmental emissions**

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of calcium oxide in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that calcium oxide will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of calcium oxide. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9.

the surface water pri sho	ulu liot liictease above 9.
Environmental emissions	The production of calcium oxide can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the calcium oxide concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not neutralised, the discharge of effluent from calcium oxide production sites may impact the pH in the receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised easily as often required by national laws.
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Waste water from calcium oxide production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from calcium oxide production sites will normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	When calcium oxide is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion (CO32-).
Exposure concentration in sediments	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for calcium oxide: when calcium oxide is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption to sediment particles is negligible.
Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to be relevant.
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for calcium oxide: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, calcium oxide is neutralised as a result of its reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g. calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised calcium oxide largely end up in soil and water.
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for calcium oxide: a risk assessment for secondary poisoning is therefore not required.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

#### Occupational exposure

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="https://www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

# DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

#### **Environmental exposure**

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

**Tier 1**: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the calcium oxide on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

**Tier 2a**: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \left[ \frac{Qeffluent*10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream*10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} \right]$$
(Eq. 1)

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m³/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

pH effluent refers to the pH of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.

**Tier 2b**: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the calcium oxide.


**Tier 3**: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021





Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.3: Manufacture and industrial uses of medium dusty solids/powders of lime substances

	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	it by workers	
1. Title	Tromat (1) addressing uses carried of	it by Horners	
	Manufacture and to describe asset of	and the special of th	
Systematic title based on use descriptor	Manufacture and industrial uses of medium dusty solids/powders of lime substances  SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU14, SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24  PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40  AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13  (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)		
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered		ered are described in Section 2 below.	
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is ba	sed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.	
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures		
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks	
PROC 1	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure		
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure  Use in closed batch process (synthesis or		
PROC 3	formulation)		
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises		
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)		
PROC 7	Industrial spraying		
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/		
PROC 8b	discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities		
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use	
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).	
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring		
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation		
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent		
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected		
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process		
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions		
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available		
PROC 22	Potentially closed processing operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature Industrial setting		
PROC 23	Open processing and transfer operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature		



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

PROC 24	High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature
PROC 27a	Production of metal powders (hot processes)
PROC 27b	Production of metal powders (wet processes)
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of industrial uses
ERC 10, 11	Wide-dispersive outdoor and indoor use of long- life articles and materials

# 2.1 Control of workers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 22, 23, 25, 27a	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
PROC 24	not restricted		solid/powder	high
All other applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	solid/powder	medium

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

# Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure
PROC 7, 17, 18, 19, 22	≤ 240 minutes
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)

#### Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

#### Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

# Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

# Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 1, 2, 15, 27b	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure".	not required	na	-
PROC 3, 13, 14		general ventilation	17 %	-
PROC 19		not applicable	na	-
All other applicable PROCs		local exhaust ventilation	78 %	-



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

A reduction of exposure	
duration can be	
achieved, for example,	
by the installation of	
ventilated (positive	
pressure) control rooms	
or by removing the	
worker from workplaces	
involved with relevant	
exposure.	

#### Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

# Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 24, 27a	FFP1 mask	APF=4		Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

#### **Amounts used**

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure.

#### Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m³/day

#### Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.

#### Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.

#### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.88)	Since calcium oxide is a skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T not assessed in this	as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is

#### **Environmental emissions**

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of calcium oxide in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that calcium oxide will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to air are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of calcium oxide. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9.

Environmental emissions	The production of calcium oxide can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the calcium oxide concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not neutralised, the discharge of effluent from calcium oxide production sites may impact the pH in the receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised easily as often required by national laws.
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Waste water from calcium oxide production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from calcium oxide production sites will normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	When calcium oxide is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion (CO32-).
Exposure concentration in sediments	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for calcium oxide: when calcium oxide is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption of to sediment particles is negligible.
Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to be relevant.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for calcium oxide: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, calcium oxide is neutralised as a result of its reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g. calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised calcium oxide largely end up in soil and water.
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for calcium oxide: a risk assessment for secondary poisoning is therefore not required.

# 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

### **Occupational exposure**

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

### DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### **Environmental exposure**

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

**Tier 1**: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the calcium oxide on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

**Tier 2a**: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \left[ \frac{Qeffluent*10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream*10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} \right]$$
 Eq 1)

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m³/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

pH effluent refers to the pH of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.

Tier 2b: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the calcium oxide.


**Tier 3**: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021





Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.4: Manufacture and industrial uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances

<u> </u>	Solids/powders of fille substances				
Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers					
1. Title					
Free short title	Manufacture and industrial uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances				
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU14, SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24  PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40  AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13  (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)				
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.			
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is ba	sed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.			
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures				
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC 1	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure				
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure				
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)				
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises				
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)				
PROC 7	Industrial spraying				
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities				
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities				
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and			
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).			
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring	,			
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation				
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent				
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected				
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process				
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions				
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available				
PROC 22	Potentially closed processing operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature Industrial setting				
PROC 23	Open processing and transfer operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature				
PROC 24	High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances				



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

	bound in materials and/or articles
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature
PROC 27a	Production of metal powders (hot processes)
PROC 27b	Production of metal powders (wet processes)
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of industrial uses
ERC 10, 11	Wide-dispersive outdoor and indoor use of long- life articles and materials

# 2.1 Control of workers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 22, 23, 25, 27a	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
All other applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	solid/powder	high

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

## Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure		
PROC 7, 8a, 17, 18, 19, 22	≤ 240 minutes		
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)		

## Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

# Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

#### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker					
PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information	
PROC 1	Any potentially required	not required	na	-	
PROC 2, 3	separation of workers	general ventilation	17 %	-	
PROC 7	from the emission source is indicated	integrated local exhaust ventilation	84 %	-	
PROC 19	above under	not applicable	na	-	
All other applicable PROCs	"Frequency and duration of exposure".  A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	local exhaust ventilation	78 %	-	

#### Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

# Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 23, 25, 27b	not required	na		Eye protection equipment (e.g.
PROC 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 17, 18,	FFP2 mask	APF=10	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to	goggles or visors) must be worn, unless
PROC 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 22, 24, 26, 27a	FFP1 mask	APF=4		potential contact with the eye can be
PROC 19	FFP3 mask	APF=20	skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

### **Amounts used**

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m³/day

#### Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day

#### Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.

#### Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.

### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27a, 27b	MEASE	<1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.96)	skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T	DNEL for dermal effects

#### **Environmental emissions**

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of calcium oxide in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that calcium oxide will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to air are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of calcium oxide. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9.

Environmental	The production of calcium oxide can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the
	calcium oxide concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not
	neutralised, the discharge of effluent from calcium oxide production sites may impact the pH in the
emissions	receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised
	easily as often required by national laws.
Exposure	Waste water from calcium oxide production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is
concentration in	no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from calcium oxide production sites will
waste water treatment	normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH
plant (WWTP)	control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

	When calcium oxide is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be			
Exposure	negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer			
concentration in	capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In			
aquatic pelagic	general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the			
compartment	equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion			
	(CO32-).			
Exposure	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for			
concentration in	calcium oxide: when calcium oxide is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption of to sediment			
sediments	particles is negligible.			
Exposure	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to			
concentrations in soil	be relevant.			
and groundwater	De leievalit.			
Exposure	The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for calcium			
concentration in	oxide: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, calcium oxide is neutralised as a result of its			
	reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g.			
atmospheric	calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised			
compartment	calcium oxide largely end up in soil and water.			
Exposure				
concentration	Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for calcium oxide: a risk assessment for secondary			
relevant for the food	poisoning is therefore not required.			
chain (secondary	poisoning is triciciore not required.			
poisoning)				

#### 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

#### **Occupational exposure**

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

# DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### **Environmental exposure**

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

**Tier 1**: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the calcium oxide on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

**Tier 2a**: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \left[ \frac{Qeffluent * 10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream * 10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} \right]$$
(Eq. 1)

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m³/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

pH effluent refers to the pH of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.

**Tier 2b**: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the calcium oxide.


**Tier 3**: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021





Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.5: Manufacture and industrial uses of massive objects containing lime substances

J 3	Containing lime substances					
<b>Exposure Scenario</b>	Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers					
1. Title	1. Title					
Free short title	Manufacture and industrial uses of mas	sive objects containing lime substances				
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU3, SU1, SU2a, SU2b, SU4, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU8, SU9, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU14, SU15, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24  PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC38, PC39, PC40  AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13  (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)					
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove	ered are described in Section 2 below.				
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is ba	sed on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.				
2. Operational conditions and risk management measures						
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks				
PROC 6	Calendering operations					
PROC 14	Production of preparations or articles by tabletting, compression, extrusion, pelletisation					
PROC 21	Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles					
PROC 22	Potentially closed processing operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature Industrial setting	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and				
PROC 23	Open processing and transfer operations with minerals/metals at elevated temperature	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).				
PROC 24	High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles	descriptor system (EOTA-2010-G-03-EN).				
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals					
ERC 1-7, 12	Manufacture, formulation and all types of industrial uses					
ERC 10, 11	Wide-dispersive outdoor and indoor use of long- life articles and materials					

# 2.1 Control of workers exposure

# **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 22, 23,25	not restricted		massive objects, molten	high
PROC 24	not restricted		massive objects	high
All other applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	massive objects	very low

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. Professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Frequency and duration of use/exposure			
PROC	Duration of exposure		
PROC 22	≤ 240 minutes		
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)		

### Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

#### Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

#### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

### Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 6, 14, 21	Any potentially required separation of workers	not required	na	-
PROC 22, 23, 24, 25	from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	local exhaust ventilation	78 %	-

## Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation					
PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)	
PROC 22	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium oxide is	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless potential contact with	
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.	

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

#### **Amounts used**

The daily and annual amount per site (for point sources) is not considered to be the main determinant for environmental exposure.

#### Frequency and duration of use

Intermittent (< 12 time per year) or continuous use/release

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Flow rate of receiving surface water: 18000 m<sup>3</sup>/day

## Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Effluent discharge rate: 2000 m³/day

# Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Risk management measures related to the environment aim to avoid discharging lime solutions into municipal wastewater or to surface water, in case such discharges are expected to cause significant pH changes. Regular control of the pH value during introduction into open waters is required. In general discharges should be carried out such that pH changes in receiving surface waters are minimised (e.g. through neutralisation). In general most aquatic organisms can tolerate pH values in the range of 6-9. This is also reflected in the description of standard OECD tests with aquatic organisms. The justification for this risk management measure can be found in the introduction section.

### Conditions and measures related to waste

Solid industrial waste of lime should be reused or discharged to the industrial wastewater and further neutralized if needed.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 6, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.44)	Since calcium oxide is a skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T not assessed in this	as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is

#### **Environmental emissions**

The environmental exposure assessment is only relevant for the aquatic environment, when applicable including STPs/WWTPs, as emissions of calcium oxide in the different life-cycle stages (production and use) mainly apply to (waste) water. The aquatic effect and risk assessment only deal with the effect on organisms/ecosystems due to possible pH changes related to OH-discharges, being the toxicity of Ca2+ is expected to be negligible compared to the (potential) pH effect. Only the local scale is being addressed, including municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) or industrial waste water treatment plants (WWTPs) when applicable, both for production and industrial use as any effects that might occur would be expected to take place on a local scale. The high water solubility and very low vapour pressure indicate that calcium oxide will be found predominantly in water. Significant emissions or exposure to air are not expected due to the low vapour pressure of calcium oxide. Significant emissions or exposure to the terrestrial environment are not expected either for this exposure scenario. The exposure assessment for the aquatic environment will therefore only deal with the possible pH changes in STP effluent and surface water related to the OH- discharges at the local scale. The exposure assessment is approached by assessing the resulting pH impact: the surface water pH should not increase above 9.

the surface water pri she	did not increase above 3.
Environmental emissions	The production of calcium oxide can potentially result in an aquatic emission and locally increase the calcium oxide concentration and affect the pH in the aquatic environment. When the pH is not neutralised, the discharge of effluent from calcium oxide production sites may impact the pH in the receiving water. The pH of effluents is normally measured very frequently and can be neutralised easily as often required by national laws.
Exposure	Waste water from calcium oxide production is an inorganic wastewater stream and therefore there is
concentration in	i v
	no biological treatment. Therefore, wastewater streams from calcium oxide production sites will
waste water treatment	normally not be treated in biological waste water treatment plants (WWTPs), but can be used for pH
plant (WWTP)	control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs.
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	When calcium oxide is emitted to surface water, sorption to particulate matter and sediment will be negligible. When lime is rejected to surface water, the pH may increase, depending on the buffer capacity of the water. The higher the buffer capacity of the water, the lower the effect on pH will be. In general the buffer capacity preventing shifts in acidity or alkalinity in natural waters is regulated by the equilibrium between carbon dioxide (CO2), the bicarbonate ion (HCO3-) and the carbonate ion (CO32-).
Exposure concentration in sediments	The sediment compartment is not included in this ES, because it is not considered relevant for calcium oxide: when calcium oxide is emitted to the aquatic compartment, sorption of to sediment particles is negligible.
Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	The terrestrial compartment is not included in this exposure scenario, because it is not considered to be relevant.
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	The air compartment is not included in this CSA because it is considered not relevant for calcium oxide: when emitted to air as an aerosol in water, calcium oxide is neutralised as a result of its reaction with CO2 (or other acids), into HCO3- and Ca2+. Subsequently, the salts (e.g. calcium(bi)carbonate) are washed out from the air and thus the atmospheric emissions of neutralised calcium oxide largely end up in soil and water.
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	Bioaccumulation in organisms is not relevant for calcium oxide: a risk assessment for secondary poisoning is therefore not required.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

#### **Occupational exposure**

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE

(www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

### DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

### **Environmental exposure**

If a site does not comply with the conditions stipulated in the safe use ES, it is recommended to apply a tiered approach to perform a more site-specific assessment. For that assessment, the following stepwise approach is recommended.

**Tier 1**: retrieve information on effluent pH and the contribution of the calcium oxide on the resulting pH. Should the pH be above 9 and be predominantly attributable to lime, then further actions are required to demonstrate safe use.

**Tier 2a**: retrieve information on receiving water pH after the discharge point. The pH of the receiving water shall not exceed the value of 9. If the measures are not available, the pH in the river can be calculated as follows:

$$pHriver = Log \left[ \frac{Qeffluent*10^{pHeffluent} + Qriverupstream*10^{pHupstream}}{Qriverupstream + Qeffluent} \right]$$
(Eq 1)

Where:

Q effluent refers to the effluent flow (in m³/day)

Q river upstream refers to the upstream river flow (in m³/day)

pH effluent refers to the pH of the effluent

pH upstream river refers to the pH of the river upstream of the discharge point

Please note that initially, default values can be used:

- Q river upstream flows: use the 10th of existing measurements distribution or use default value of 18000 m³/day
- Q effluent: use default value of 2000 m³/day
- The upstream pH is preferably a measured value. If not available, one can assume a neutral pH of 7 if this can be justified.

Such equation has to be seen as a worst case scenario, where water conditions are standard and not case specific.

Tier 2b: Equation 1 can be used to identify which effluent pH causes an acceptable pH level in the receiving body. In order to do so, pH of the river is set at value 9 and pH of the effluent is calculated accordingly (using default values as reported previously, if necessary). As temperature influences lime solubility, pH effluent might require to be adjusted on a case-by-case basis. Once the maximum admissible pH value in the effluent is established, it is assumed that the OH- concentrations are all dependent on lime discharge and that there is no buffer capacity conditions to consider (this is a unrealistic worst case scenario, which can be modified where information is available). Maximum load of lime that can be annually rejected without negatively affecting the pH of the receiving water is calculated assuming chemical equilibrium. OH- expressed as moles/litre are multiplied by average flow of the effluent and then divided by the molar mass of the calcium oxide.


**Tier 3**: measure the pH in the receiving water after the discharge point. If pH is below 9, safe use is reasonably demonstrated and the ES ends here. If pH is found to be above 9, risk management measures have to be implemented: the effluent has to undergo neutralisation, thus ensuring safe use of lime during production or use phase.



Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021





Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.6: Professional uses of aqueous solutions of lime substances

Substances					
Exposure Scenario	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	ut by workers			
1. Title					
Free short title	Professional uses of aqueous solutions of lime substances				
	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU11 SU23, PC1 PC2 PC3 PC7 PC8 PC9a PC9b PC11	SU24			
Systematic title based on use descriptor	PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC39, PC40  AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13  (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)				
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered		ered are described in Section 2 below.			
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is base environmental assessment is	d on the exposure estimation tool MEASE. The saled on FOCUS-Exposit.			
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures	5			
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure				
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)				
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises				
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)				
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities				
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities	Further information is provided in the ECHA			
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).			
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing				
PROC 11	Non industrial spraying				
PROC 12	Use of blowing agents in manufacture of foam				
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring				
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent				
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected				
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process				
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions				
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available				
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open systems	Calcium oxide is applied in numerous cases of wide dispersive uses: agricultural, forestry, fish and shrimps farming, soil treatment and environmental protection.			



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### 2.1 Control of workers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential. The spraying of aqueous solutions (PROC7 and 11) is assumed to be involved with a medium emission.

PROC	Use in preparation	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
All applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	aqueous solution	very low

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

#### Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure			
PROC 11	≤ 240 minutes			
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)			

#### Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

#### Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Since aqueous solutions are not used in hot-metallurgical processes, operational conditions (e.g. process temperature and process pressure) are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes.

## Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

### Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 19	Separation of workers from the emission	not applicable	na	-
All other applicable PROCs	source is generally not required in the conducted processes.	not required	na	-

### Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation				
PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 11	FFP3 mask	APF=20	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless
PROC 17	FFP1 mask	APF=4		potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process).
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na		Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.


The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

## 2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for agricultural soil protection

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

#### **Amounts used**

CaO 1,700 kg/ha

#### Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year); Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha CaO is not exceeded



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m<sup>2</sup>

Field surface area: 1 ha

#### Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

#### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

#### Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.


#### Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for urban soil treatment

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

# **Amounts used**

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

### Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime; Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha (CaO) is not exceeded

# Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Field surface area: 1 ha

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

# Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

#### Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (<0.001 – 0.6)	skin, dermal exposure ha as technically feasible. A has not been derived. T	classified as irritating to as to be minimised as far DNEL for dermal effects hus, dermal exposure is exposure scenario.

#### Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.

the 3011, calcium oxide ce	in indeed migrate their tow	alus sullace waters, via u	iiit.	
Environmental emissions	See amounts used			
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultural soil protection			
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3– to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.			
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	500	816	0.61
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa.			
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)		because calcium oxides causes covered do not signifi vironment.		



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

Whole parameters each t	as anno can be improved a	iccording to collected date	4.		
Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP) Exposure concentration in	Not relevant for road border scenario  Not relevant for road border scenario				
aquatic pelagic compartment	Not relevant for road bord	dei scenano			
Exposure concentration in sediments	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR	
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	529	816	0.65	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	This point is not relevant because calcium can be considered to be omnipresent and essential in the environment. The uses covered do not significantly influence the distribution of the constituents (Ca <sup>2+</sup> and OH) in the environment.				

# Environmental exposure for other uses

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil
  protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

### 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined

(www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

# ES number 9.7: Professional uses of low dusty solids/powders of lime substances

Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers					
1. Title					
Free short title	Professional uses of low dusty so	olids/powders of lime substances			
	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18,				
Systematic title based on use descriptor		PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC37, PC39, PC40			
	(appropriate PROCs and ERCs				
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove				
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is base environmental assessment is	·			
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures				
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure				
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)				
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises				
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and			
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).			
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities				
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)				



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

PROC 10	Roller application or brushing
PROC 11	Non industrial spraying
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available
PROC 21	Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open systems

#### 2.1 Control of workers exposure

### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Use in preparation	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 25	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
All other applicable PROCs	not restricted		solid/powder	low

### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

# Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure			
PROC 17	≤ 240 minutes			
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)			

## Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

#### Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

# Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker					
PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information	
PROC 19	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and	not applicable	na	-	
All other applicable PROCs	duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	not required	na	-	

#### Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

### Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 4, 5, 11, 26	FFP1 mask	APF=4		Eye protection
PROC 16, 17, 18, 25	FFP2 mask	APF=10		equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must
All other applicable PROCs	not required	na	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.




Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 2.2 Control of environmental exposure - only relevant for agricultural soil protection

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

#### **Amounts used**

CaO 1,700 kg/ha

#### Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year) Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m<sup>2</sup>

Field surface area: 1 ha

### Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

# Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

### Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.




Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for urban soil treatment

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

#### **Amounts used**

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

### Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime. Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Field surface area: 1 ha

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

## Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

### Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 25, 26	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.01 – 0.75)		hus, dermal exposure is

#### Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.

	aooag.a.o a.o ton	aras sarrass waters, via a				
Environmental emissions	See amounts used					
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultur	ral soil protection				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR		
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015		
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3- to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.					
Exposure	Substance	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR				
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	500	816	0.61		
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa.					
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)		overed do not significantly	onsidered to be omniprese influence the distribution o			



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

Where parameters saon t	ao annio dan be improved d	iccording to collected data	1.		
Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in sediments	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR	
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	529	816	0.65	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	This point is not relevant because calcium can be considered to be omnipresent and essential in the environment. The uses covered do not significantly influence the distribution of the constituents (Ca <sup>2+</sup> and OH <sup>-</sup> ) in the environment.				

# Environmental exposure for other uses

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil
  protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

### 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).



Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.8: Professional uses of medium dusty solids/powders of lime substances

<b>Exposure Scenario</b>	Format (1) addressing uses carried ou	it by workers		
1. Title				
Free short title	Professional uses of medium dusty	solids/powders of lime substances		
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24 PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC39, PC40 AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)			
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities cove			
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is base environmental assessment is			
2. Operational con	ditions and risk management measures			
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks		
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure			
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)			
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises			
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)			
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities			
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities			
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA		
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use		
PROC 11	Non industrial spraying	descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).		
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring	·		
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent			
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected			
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process			
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions			
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available			
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals			
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature			
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open systems			



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

### 2.1 Control of workers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Use in preparation	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 25	not restricted		solid/powder, molten	high
All other applicable PROCs	not restricted		solid/powder	medium

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

#### Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure			
PROC 11, 16, 17, 18, 19	≤ 240 minutes			
All other applicable PROCs	480 minutes (not restricted)			

#### Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

# Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

#### Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information	
PROC 11, 16	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission	generic local exhaust ventilation	72 %	-	
PROC 17, 18	source is indicated above under	source is indicated	integrated local exhaust ventilation	87 %	-
PROC 19	"Frequency and duration of exposure".  A reduction of exposure	not applicable	na	-	
All other applicable PROCs	duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	not required	na	-	



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

#### Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of	RPE efficiency	Specification of gloves	Further personal
	respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	(assigned protection factor, APF)		protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 2, 3, 16, 19	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	Eye protection
PROC 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 13, 17, 18, 25, 26	FFP2 mask	APF=10		equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless
PROC 11	FFP1 mask	APF=10		potential contact with
PROC 15	not required	na		the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.


The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

# 2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for agricultural soil protection

### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

A	٠	-4-		الم
AIII	ou	nts	use	ŧu.

CaO 1,700 kg/ha

#### Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year) Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m<sup>2</sup>

Field surface area: 1 ha

### Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

#### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

#### Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.


#### Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.

# 2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for urban soil treatment

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

### **Amounts used**

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

## Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime. Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

### **Environment factors not influenced by risk management**

Field surface area: 1 ha

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 25, 26	MEASE	< 1 mg/m³ (0.25 – 0.825)	skin, dermal exposure ha	·

#### Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.

Environmental emissions	See amounts used						
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultural soil protection						
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR			
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015			
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3- to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.						
Exposure	Substance	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR					
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	500	816	0.61			
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa.						
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	'	overed do not significantly	onsidered to be omniprese influence the distribution o				



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.						
Environmental emissions	See amounts used					
Exposure						
concentration in	Not relevant for road bord	ter scenario				
waste water treatment	140t Tolevant for Toda Bore	ici sociidilo				
plant (WWTP)						
Exposure						
concentration in	Not relevant for road bors	N. A. a. L. a. a. A. farancia al b. a. d. a. a. a. a. a. a.				
aquatic pelagic	Not relevant for road border scenario					
compartment						
Exposure						
concentration in	Not relevant for road border scenario					
sediments						
Exposure	Substance	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR				
concentrations in soil	CaO	520	916	0.65		
and groundwater	CaO	CaO 529 816 0.65				
Exposure						
concentration in	This maint is not relevant. Calaises avide is not valetile. The various research is below 40 <sup>-5</sup> De					
atmospheric	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa.					
compartment						
Exposure						
concentration	This point is not relevant because calcium can be considered to be omnipresent and essential in the					
relevant for the food	environment. The uses covered do not significantly influence the distribution of the constituents (Ca <sup>2+</sup>					
chain (secondary	and OH ) in the environment.					
poisoning)	,	and 5.1., in the 5.1.1.51111				
·						

### Environmental exposure for other uses

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil
  protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- · Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

# ES number 9.9: Professional uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances

Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers			
1. Title			
Free short title	Professional uses of high dusty solids/powders of lime substances		
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24  PC1, PC2, PC3, PC7, PC8, PC9a, PC9b, PC11, PC12, PC13, PC14, PC15, PC16, PC17, PC18, PC19, PC20, PC21, PC23, PC24, PC25, PC26, PC27, PC28, PC29, PC30, PC31, PC32, PC33, PC34, PC35, PC36, PC37, PC39, PC40  AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)		
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities covered are described in Section 2 below.		
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is based on the exposure estimation tool MEASE. The environmental assessment is based on FOCUS-Exposit.		



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

2. Operational conditions and risk management measures			
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks	
PROC 2	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure		
PROC 3	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation)		
PROC 4	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises		
PROC 5	Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact)		
PROC 8a	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities		
PROC 8b	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/ discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities		
PROC 9	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Further information is provided in the ECHA Guidance on information requirements and	
PROC 10	Roller application or brushing	chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.12: Use	
PROC 11	Non industrial spraying	descriptor system (ECHA-2010-G-05-EN).	
PROC 13	Treatment of articles by dipping and pouring		
PROC 15	Use as laboratory reagent		
PROC 16	Using material as fuel sources, limited exposure to unburned product to be expected		
PROC 17	Lubrication at high energy conditions and in partly open process		
PROC 18	Greasing at high energy conditions		
PROC 19	Hand-mixing with intimate contact and only PPE available		
PROC 26	Handling of solid inorganic substances at ambient temperature		
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open systems		

# 2.1 Control of workers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Use in preparation	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
All applicable PROCs	not res	stricted	solid/powder	high
	•		-	•

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Duration of exposure
PROC 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 16, 17, 18, 19, 26	≤ 240 minutes
PROC 11	≤ 60 minutes
All other applicable	480 minutes (not restricted)



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### **PROCs**

#### Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

#### Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.

#### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

#### Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information	
PROC 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 11, 16, 26	Any potentially required separation of workers	generic local exhaust ventilation	72 %	-	
PROC 17, 18	from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure".  A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	source is indicated	integrated local exhaust ventilation	87 %	-
PROC 19		not applicable	na	only in well ventilated rooms or outdoors (efficiency 50 %)-	
All other applicable PROCs		achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces	not required	na	-

#### Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

## Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 9, 26	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium oxide is	Eye protection equipment (e.g.
PROC 11, 17, 18, 19	FFP3 mask	APF=20		goggles or visors) must be worn, unless
PROC 25	FFP2 mask	APF=10		potential contact with
All other applicable PROCs	FFP2 mask	APF=10	classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be



Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021

considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.


The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for agricultural soil protection

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

#### **Amounts used**

CaO 1,700 kg/ha

#### Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year). Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m2

Field surface area: 1 ha

#### Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

## Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

# Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

#### Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.




Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 2.2 Control of environmental exposure - only relevant for urban soil treatment

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

#### **Amounts used**

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

## Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime. Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Field surface area: 1 ha

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

## Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

## Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
PROC 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 25, 26	MEASE	<1 mg/m³ (0.5 – 0.825)	skin, dermal exposure ha	·

#### Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.

the 3011, calcium oxide ce	ne soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.			
Environmental emissions	See amounts used			
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultural soil protection			
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3- to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.			
Exposure	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR			
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO 500 816 0.61			
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa.			
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	· ·	because calcium can be c overed do not significantly ent.	•	



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

Time o parametere caem	where parameters such as arms can be improved associating to solicoted data.			
Environmental emissions	See amounts used			
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for road border scenario			
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	Not relevant for road border scenario			
Exposure concentration in sediments	Not relevant for road border scenario			
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	529	816	0.65
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa.			
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	This point is not relevant because calcium can be considered to be omnipresent and essential in the environment. The uses covered do not significantly influence the distribution of the constituents (Ca <sup>2+</sup> and OH <sup>-</sup> ) in the environment.			

# **Environmental exposure for other uses**

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil
  protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

### 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

# ES number 9.10: Professional use of lime substances in soil treatment

<b>Exposure Scenario</b>	Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers
1. Title	
Free short title	Professional use of lime substances in soil treatment
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU22 (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities covered are described in Section 2 below.
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is based on measured data and on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.  The environmental assessment is based on FOCUS-Exposit.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

2. Operational conditions and risk management measures				
Task/ERC REACH definition		Involved tasks		
Milling	PROC 5			
Loading of spreader	PROC 8b, PROC 26	Preparation and use of calcium oxides for so treatment.		
Application to soil (spreading)	PROC 11			
ERC2, ERC8a, ERC8b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of reactive substances or processing aids in open systems	Calcium oxide is applied in numerous cases of wide dispersive uses: agricultural, forestry, fish and shrimps farming, soil treatment and environmental protection.		

## 2.1 Control of workers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

Task	Use in preparation	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
Milling	not restricted		solid/powder	high
Loading of spreader	not restricted		solid/powder	high
Application to soil (spreading)	not restricted		solid/powder	high

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

### Frequency and duration of use/exposure

Task	Duration of exposure				
Milling	240 minutes				
Loading of spreader	240 minutes				
Application to soil (spreading)	480 minutes (not restricted)				

# Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

# Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions (e.g. process temperature and process pressure) are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes.

# Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker					
Task	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC	Further information	
Milling	Separation of workers is generally not	not required	na	-	
Loading of spreader	required in the conducted processes.	not required	na	-	
Application to soil (spreading)	During application the worker is sitting in the cabin of the spreader	Cabin with filtered air supply	99%	-	

#### Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

#### Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

Task	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)	
Milling	FFP3 mask	APF=20	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	equipme goggles or vi	Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must be worn, unless
Loading of spreader	FFP3 mask	APF=20		potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application	
Application to soil (spreading)	not required	na		(i.e. closed process).  Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.	

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.




Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

## 2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for agricultural soil protection

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

#### **Amounts used**

CaO 1,700 kg/ha

#### Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year). Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha (CaO) is not exceeded

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Volume of surface water: 300 L/m<sup>2</sup>

Field surface area: 1 ha

#### Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

# Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

#### Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site

In line with the requirements for good agricultural practice, agricultural soil should be analysed prior to application of lime and the application rate should be adjusted according to the results of the analysis.




Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 2.2 Control of environmental exposure – only relevant for urban soil treatment

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1% (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)



(Figure taken from: Laudet, A. et al., 1999)

#### **Amounts used**

CaO 180,000 kg/ha

## Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year and only once in a lifetime. Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 180,000 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Field surface area: 1 ha

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

## Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Lime is only applied onto the soil in the technosphere zone before road construction. There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

## Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

Measured data and modelled exposure estimates (MEASE) were used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust).

Task	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)
Milling	MEASE	0.488 mg/m³ (0.48)	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, dermal exposure has to be minimised as fa as technically feasible. A DNEL for dermal effect has not been derived. Thus, dermal exposure is not assessed in this exposure scenario.	
Loading of spreader	MEASE (PROC 8b)	0.488 mg/m³ (0.48)		
Application to soil (spreading)	measured data	0.880 mg/m³ (0.88)		

## Environmental exposure for agricultural soil protection

The PEC calculation for soil and surface water was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data: once applied on the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift

	the soil, calcium oxide can indeed migrate then towards surface waters, via drift.					
Environmental emissions	See amounts used					
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for agricultural soil protection					
Exposure	Substance	PEC (ug/L)	PNEC (ug/L)	RCR		
concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	CaO	5.66	370	0.015		
Exposure concentration in sediments	As described above, no exposure of surface water nor sediment to lime is expected. Further, in natural waters the hydroxide ions react with HCO3- to form water and CO32 CO32- forms CaCO3 by reacting with Ca2+. The calcium carbonate precipitates and deposits on the sediment. Calcium carbonate is of low solubility and a constituent of natural soils.					
	Substance PEC (mg/L) PNEC (mg/L) RCR					
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR		
Exposure concentrations in soil and groundwater	Substance CaO	PEC (mg/L) 500	<b>PNEC (mg/L)</b> 816	<b>RCR</b> 0.61		
concentrations in soil	CaO		816	0.61		



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Environmental exposure for urban soil treatment

The urban soil treatment scenario is based on a road border scenario. At the special road border technical meeting (Ispra, September 5, 2003), EU Member States and industry agreed on a definition for a "road technosphere". The road technosphere can be defined as "the engineered environment that carries the geotechnical functions of the road in connection with its structure, operation and maintenance including the installations to ensure road safety and manage run off. This technosphere, which includes the hard and soft shoulder at the edge of the carriageway, is vertically dictated by the groundwater watertable. The road authority has responsibility for this road technosphere including road safety, road support, prevention of pollution and water management". The road technosphere was therefore excluded as assessment endpoint for risk assessment for the purpose of the existing/new substances regulations. The target zone is the zone beyond the technosphere, to which the environmental risk assessment applies.

The PEC calculation for soil was based on the FOCUS soil group (FOCUS, 1996) and on the "draft guidance on the calculation of predicted environmental concentration values (PEC) of plant protection products for soil, ground water, surface water and sediment (Kloskowksi et al., 1999). The FOCUS/EXPOSIT modelling tool is preferred to the EUSES as it is more appropriate for agricultural-like application as in this case where parameter as the drift needs to be included in the modelling. FOCUS is a model typically developed for biocidal applications and was further elaborated on the basis of the German EXPOSIT 1.0 model, where parameters such as drifts can be improved according to collected data.

Where parameters saon t	ao annio dan be improved d	iccording to collected data	1.		
Environmental emissions	See amounts used				
Exposure concentration in waste water treatment plant (WWTP)	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in aquatic pelagic compartment	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure concentration in sediments	Not relevant for road border scenario				
Exposure	Substance	PEC (mg/L)	PNEC (mg/L)	RCR	
concentrations in soil and groundwater	CaO	529	816	0.65	
Exposure concentration in atmospheric compartment	This point is not relevant. Calcium oxide is not volatile. The vapour pressures is below 10 <sup>-5</sup> Pa.				
Exposure concentration relevant for the food chain (secondary poisoning)	This point is not relevant because calcium can be considered to be omnipresent and essential in the environment. The uses covered do not significantly influence the distribution of the constituents (Ca <sup>2+</sup> and OH <sup>-</sup> ) in the environment.				

# **Environmental exposure for other uses**

For all other uses, no quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried because

- The operational conditions and risk management measures are less stringent than those outlined for agricultural soil
  protection or urban soil treatment
- Lime is an ingredient and chemically bound into a matrix. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water
- Lime is specifically used to release CO2-free breathable air, upon reaction with CO2. Such applications only relates to the air compartment, where the lime properties are exploited
- Neutralisation/pH-shift is the intended use and there are no additional impacts beyond those desired.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

# ES number 9.11: Professional uses of articles/containers containing lime substances

<b>Exposure Scenario</b>	Exposure Scenario Format (1) addressing uses carried out by workers				
1. Title					
Free short title	Professional uses of articles/containers containing lime substances				
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU22, SU1, SU5, SU6a, SU6b, SU7, SU10, SU11, SU12, SU13, SU16, SU17, SU18, SU19, SU20, SU23, SU24  AC1, AC2, AC3, AC4, AC5, AC6, AC7, AC8, AC10, AC11, AC13  (appropriate PROCs and ERCs are given in Section 2 below)				
Processes, tasks and/or activities covered	Processes, tasks and/or activities covered are described in Section 2 below.				
Assessment Method	The assessment of inhalation exposure is based on the exposure estimation tool MEASE.				



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

2. Operational conditions and risk management measures					
PROC/ERC	REACH definition	Involved tasks			
PROC 0	Other process (PROC 21 (low emission potential) as proxy for exposure estimation)	Use of containers containing calcium oxide/preparations as CO <sub>2</sub> absorbents (e.g. breathing apparatus)			
PROC 21	Low energy manipulation of substances bound in materials and/or articles	Handling of substances bound in materials and/o			
PROC 24	High (mechanical) energy work-up of substances bound in materials and/or articles	Grinding, mechanical cutting			
PROC 25	Other hot work operations with metals	Welding, soldering			
ERC10, ERC11, ERC 12	Wide dispersive indoor and outdoor use of long- life articles and materials with low release	Calcium oxide bound into or onto articles and materials such as: wooden and plastic construction and building materials (e.g. gutters, drains), flooring, furniture, toys, leather products, paper and cardboard products (magazines, books, news paper and packaging paper), electronic equipment (casing)			

## 2.1 Control of workers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

According to the MEASE approach, the substance-intrinsic emission potential is one of the main exposure determinants. This is reflected by an assignment of a so-called fugacity class in the MEASE tool. For operations conducted with solid substances at ambient temperature the fugacity is based on the dustiness of that substance. Whereas in hot metal operations, fugacity is temperature based, taking into account the process temperature and the melting point of the substance. As a third group, high abrasive tasks are based on the level of abrasion instead of the substance intrinsic emission potential.

PROC	Used in preparation?	Content in preparation	Physical form	Emission potential
PROC 0	not restricted		massive objects (pellets), low potential for dust formation due to abrasion during previous filling and handling activities of pellets, not during use of breathing apparatus	low (worst case assumption as no inhalation exposure is assumed during the use of the breathing apparatus due to the very low abrasive potential)
PROC 21	not restricted		massive objects	very low
PROC 24, 25	not res	stricted	massive objects	high

#### **Amounts used**

The actual tonnage handled per shift is not considered to influence the exposure as such for this scenario. Instead, the combination of the scale of operation (industrial vs. professional) and level of containment/automation (as reflected in the PROC) is the main determinant of the process intrinsic emission potential.

#### Frequency and duration of use/exposure

PROC	Duration of exposure			
PROC 0	480 minutes  (not restricted as far as occupational exposure to calcium oxide is concerned, the actual wearing duration may be restricted due the user instructions of the actual breathing apparatus)			
PROC 21	480 minutes (not restricted)			
PROC 24, 25	≤ 240 minutes			

#### Human factors not influenced by risk management

The shift breathing volume during all process steps reflected in the PROCs is assumed to be 10 m³/shift (8 hours).

#### Other given operational conditions affecting workers exposure

Operational conditions like process temperature and process pressure are not considered relevant for occupational exposure assessment of the conducted processes. In process steps with considerably high temperatures (i.e. PROC 22, 23, 25), the exposure assessment in MEASE is however based on the ratio of process temperature and melting point. As the associated temperatures are expected to vary within the industry the highest ratio was taken as a worst case assumption for the exposure estimation. Thus all process temperatures are automatically covered in this exposure scenario for PROC 22, 23 and PROC 25.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

Risk management measures at the process level (e.g. containment or segregation of the emission source) are generally not required in the processes.

#### Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker

PROC	Level of separation	Localised controls (LC)	Efficiency of LC (according to MEASE)	Further information
PROC 0, 21, 24, 25	Any potentially required separation of workers from the emission source is indicated above under "Frequency and duration of exposure". A reduction of exposure duration can be achieved, for example, by the installation of ventilated (positive pressure) control rooms or by removing the worker from workplaces involved with relevant exposure.	not required	na	-

#### Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure

Avoid inhalation or ingestion. General occupational hygiene measures are required to ensure a safe handling of the substance. These measures involve good personal and housekeeping practices (i.e. regular cleaning with suitable cleaning devices), no eating and smoking at the workplace, the wearing of standard working clothes and shoes unless otherwise stated below. Shower and change clothes at end of work shift. Do not wear contaminated clothing at home. Do not blow dust off with compressed air.

## Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation

PROC	Specification of respiratory protective equipment (RPE)	RPE efficiency (assigned protection factor, APF)	Specification of gloves	Further personal protective equipment (PPE)
PROC 0, 21	not required	na		Eye protection equipment (e.g. goggles or visors) must
PROC 24, 25	FFP1 mask	APF=4	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to skin, the use of protective gloves is mandatory for all process steps.	be worn, unless potential contact with the eye can be excluded by the nature and type of application (i.e. closed process). Additionally, face protection, protective clothing and safety shoes are required to be worn as appropriate.

Any RPE as defined above shall only be worn if the following principles are implemented in parallel: The duration of work (compare with "duration of exposure" above) should reflect the additional physiological stress for the worker due to the breathing resistance and mass of the RPE itself, due to the increased thermal stress by enclosing the head. In addition, it shall be considered that the worker's capability of using tools and of communicating are reduced during the wearing of RPE. For reasons as given above, the worker should therefore be (i) healthy (especially in view of medical problems that may affect the use of RPE), (ii) have suitable facial characteristics reducing leakages between face and mask (in view of scars and facial hair). The recommended devices above which rely on a tight face seal will not provide the required protection unless they fit the contours of the face properly and securely.

The employer and self-employed persons have legal responsibilities for the maintenance and issue of respiratory protective devices and the management of their correct use in the workplace. Therefore, they should define and document a suitable policy for a respiratory protective device programme including training of the workers.

An overview of the APFs of different RPE (according to BS EN 529:2005) can be found in the glossary of MEASE.

# 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

#### **Product characteristics**

Lime is chemically bound into/onto a matrix with very low release potential



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

#### **Occupational exposure**

The exposure estimation tool MEASE was used for the assessment of inhalation exposure. The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived no-effect level) and has to be below 1 to demonstrate a safe use. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the DNEL for calcium oxide of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate derived using MEASE (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction being a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481.

PROC	Method used for inhalation exposure assessment	Inhalation exposure estimate (RCR)	Method used for dermal exposure assessment	Dermal exposure estimate (RCR)			
PROC 0	MEASE (PROC 21)	0.5 mg/m³ (0.5)	Since calcium oxide is classified as irritating to				
PROC 21	MEASE	0.05 mg/m³ (0.05)	skin, dermal exposure has to be minimised as as technically feasible. A DNEL for dermal effe has not been derived. Thus, dermal exposure				
PROC 24	MEASE	0.825 mg/m³ (0.825)					
PROC 25	MEASE	0.6 mg/m³ (0.6)	not assessed in this exposure scenario.				

#### **Environmental exposure**

Lime is an ingredient and is chemically bound into a matrix: there is no intended release of lime during normal and reasonable foreseeable conditions of use. Releases are negligible and insufficient to cause a pH-shift in soil, wastewater or surface water.

#### 4. Guidance to DU to evaluate whether he works inside the boundaries set by the ES

The DU works inside the boundaries set by the ES if either the proposed risk management measures as described above are met or the downstream user can demonstrate on his own that his operational conditions and implemented risk management measures are adequate. This has to be done by showing that they limit the inhalation and dermal exposure to a level below the respective DNEL (given that the processes and activities in question are covered by the PROCs listed above) as given below. If measured data are not available, the DU may make use of an appropriate scaling tool such as MEASE (<a href="https://www.ebrc.de/mease.html">www.ebrc.de/mease.html</a>) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined

(www.ebrc.de/mease.html) to estimate the associated exposure. The dustiness of the substance used can be determined according to the MEASE glossary. For example, substances with a dustiness less than 2.5 % according to the Rotating Drum Method (RDM) are defined as "low dusty", substances with a dustiness less than 10 % (RDM) are defined as "medium dusty" and substances with a dustiness ≥10 % are defined as "high dusty".

DNEL<sub>inhalation</sub>: 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust)

Important note: The DU has to be aware of the fact that apart from the long-term DNEL given above, a DNEL for acute effects exists at a level of 4 mg/m³. By demonstrating a safe use when comparing exposure estimates with the long-term DNEL, the acute DNEL is therefore also covered (according to R.14 guidance, acute exposure levels can be derived by multiplying long-term exposure estimates by a factor of 2). When using MEASE for the derivation of exposure estimates, it is noted that the exposure duration should only be reduced to half-shift as a risk management measure (leading to an exposure reduction of 40 %).

# ES number 9.12: Consumer use of building and construction material (DIY – do it yourself)

Exposure Scenario Format (2) addressing uses carried out by consumers					
1. Title					
Free short title		Consumer use of building and construction material			
Systematic title based on use descriptor		SU21, PC9a, PC9b, ERC8c, ERC8d, ERC8e, ERC8f			
Processes, tasks activities covered		Handling (mixing and filling) of powder formulations			
		Application of liquid, pasty lime preparations.			
Assessment Method*		Human health: A qualitative assessment has been performed for oral and dermal exposure as wel as exposure to the eye. Inhalation exposure to dust has been assessed by the Dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992). Environment: A qualitative justification assessment is provided.			
2. Operational conditions and risk management measures					
RMM	No product integrated risk management measures are in place.				
PC/ERC	Description of activity referring to article categories (AC) and environmental release categories (ERC)				



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

PC 9a, 9b	A	Mixing and loading of powder containing lime substances.  Application of lime plaster, putty or slurry to the walls or ceiling.  Post-application exposure.							
ERC 8c, 8d, 8e, 8f	V	Vide disp Vide disp	ersive o	idoor use resulti utdoor use of pr utdoor use of re utdoor use resu	ocessing active su	aids in op bstances i	en systems n open syste	ms	
2.1 Control of con	sumers	expos	ure						
Product characteristic									
Description of the	Concer	tration	of the	Physical state	e of	Dustine	ss (if releva	nt)	Packaging design
preparation	substa	nce in th	е	the preparation	on				
	prepara	ation							
Lime substance	100 %			Solid, powder		_	edium and lov		Bulk in bags of up to
Plaster, Mortar	20-40%			Solid, powder		lime sub	e value from sheet see		35 kg.
Plaster, Mortar	20-40%			Pasty		-			-
Putty, filler	30-55%			Pasty, highly viscous, thick	liquid	-			In tubes or buckets
Pre-mixed lime wash	~30%			Solid, powder		High - lo	W		Bulk in bags of up to
paint					(indicative value from DIY <sup>1</sup> fact sheet see section 9.0.3)		35 kg.		
Lime wash paint/milk	~ 30 %		Milk of lime		-			-	
of lime preparation				preparation					
Amounts used									
Description of the		Amoun	t used p	er event					
preparation									
Filler, putty		Difficult				nt is heavi	ly dependent	on th	ne depth and size of the
Plaster/lime wash paint		~ 25 kg	dependi	ng on the size o	of the roo	m, wall to	be treated.		
Floor/wall equalizer				ng on the size o	of the roo	m, wall to l	be equalized		
Frequency and duration	n of use/	exposur							
Description of task				on of exposure			frequency	of e	vents
Mixing and loading of time containing Chapt			in (DIY <sup>1</sup> -fact she r 2.4.2 Mixing a rs)				et sheet)		
Application of lime plaster, putty or slurry to the walls or ceiling			l minutes - hour	s		2/year (DI)	IY <sup>1</sup> fact sheet)		
Human factors not infl	uenced b	y risk m	anagem	ent					
Description of the Population exposed		osed	Breathing rat	е	Exposed	d body part		Corresponding skin	
task			-				area [cm²]		
	Handling of powder Adult		1.25 m³/hr		Half of b	oth hands		430 (DIY <sup>1</sup> fact sheet)	
Application of liquid,			ND		I I a so el c	4 6		4000 (DIV1 54 -1 0)	
pasty lime	Adult			NR		Hands a	nd forearms		1900 (DIY <sup>1</sup> fact sheet)
preparations.  Other given operations	l conditi	one offer	eting of	neumere eve	CUrc				
Description of the task			r/outdo	•		volume		۸i۳	exchange rate
Handling of powder	<u> </u>	indoor		OI .			ace small		hr <sup>-1</sup> (unspecified room)
Tranding of powder		Indoor		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		personal space, small 0.6		0.0	iii (uiispeciileu 100iii)

# Conditions and measures related to information and behavioural advice to consumers

indoor

In order to avoid health damage DIYers should comply with the same strict protective measures which apply to professional workplaces:

Change wet clothing, shoes and gloves immediately.

Application of liquid, pasty lime

preparations.

Protect uncovered areas of skin (arms, legs, face): there are various effective skin protection products which should
be used in accordance with a skin protection plan (skin protection, cleansing and care). Cleanse the skin thoroughly
after the work and apply a care product.

area around the user)

NR



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Conditions and measures related to personal protection and hygiene

In order to avoid health damage DIYers should comply with the same strict protective measures which apply to professional workplaces:

- When preparing or mixing building materials, during demolition or caulking and, above all, during overhead work, wear
  protective goggles as well as face masks during dusty work.
- Choose work gloves carefully. Leather gloves become wet and can facilitate burns. When working in a wet
  environment, cotton gloves with plastic covering (nitrile) are better. Wear gauntlet gloves during overhead work
  because they can considerably reduce the amount of humidity which permeates the working clothes.

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

#### **Product characteristics**

Not relevant for exposure assessment

#### Amounts used\*

Not relevant for exposure assessment

## Frequency and duration of use

Not relevant for exposure assessment

### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Default river flow and dilution

#### Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Indoor

Direct discharge to the wastewater is avoided.

## Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant

Default size of municipal sewage system/treatment plant and sludge treatment technique

#### Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Not relevant for exposure assessment

### Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Not relevant for exposure assessment

#### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived noeffect level) and is given in parentheses below. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the acute DNEL for lime substances of 4 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction is a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481. Since limes are classified as irritating to skin and eyes a qualitative assessment has been performed for dermal exposure and exposure to the eye.

Human exposure							
Exposure estimate	Method used, comments						
-	Qualitative assessment						
	Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.						
small task: 0.1 µg/cm²	Qualitative assessment						
(-)	If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is						
large task: 1 μg/cm² (-)	expected. However, dermal contact to dust from loading of lime substances or direct contact to the lime cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn						
	during application. This may occasionally result in mild irritation easily avoided by prompt rinsing with water.						
	Quantitative assessment						
	The constant rate model of ConsExpo has been used. The contact rate to dust						
	formed while pouring powder has been taken from the DIY <sup>1</sup> -fact sheet (RIVM report 320104007).						
Dust	Qualitative assessment						
	If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is						
	expected. Dust from loading of the lime substances cannot be excluded if no						
	protective goggles are used. Prompt rinsing with water and seeking medical						
	advice after accidental exposure is advisable.						
Small task: 12 µg/m³	Quantitative assessment						
(0.003)	Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the dutch						
Large task: 120 μg/m³	model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section 9.0.3.1 above).						
(0.03)							
I, pasty lime preparations	).						
Exposure estimate	Method used, comments						
-	Qualitative assessment						
	Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.						
	Small task: 0.1 μg/cm² (-) large task: 1 μg/cm² (-)  Dust  Small task: 12 μg/m³ (0.003)  Large task: 120 μg/m³ (0.03)  I, pasty lime preparations						



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Dermal	Splashes	Qualitative assessment If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is expected. However, splashes on the skin cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn during the application. Splashes may occasionally result in mild irritation easily avoided by immediate rinsing of the hands with water.
Eye	Splashes	Qualitative assessment If appropriate goggles are worn no exposure to the eyes needs to be expected. However, splashes into the eyes cannot be excluded if no protective goggles are worn during the application of liquid or pasty lime preparations, especially during overhead work. Prompt rinsing with water and seeking medical advice after accidental exposure is advisable.
Inhalation	-	Qualitative assessment  Not expected, as the vapour pressure of limes in water is low and generation of mists or aerosols does not take place.

#### Post-application exposure

No relevant exposure will be assumed as the aqueous lime preparation will quickly convert to calcium carbonate with carbon dioxide from the atmosphere.

#### **Environmental exposure**

Referring to the OC/RMMs related to the environment to avoid discharging lime solutions directly into municipal wastewater, the pH of the influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is circum-neutral and therefore, there is no exposure to the biological activity. The influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is often neutralized anyway and lime may even be used beneficially for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs. Since the pH of the influent of the municipal treatment plant is circum neutral, the pH impact is negligible on the receiving environmental compartments, such as surface water, sediment and terrestrial compartment.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.13: Consumer use of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbent in breathing apparatuses

appara	เนอซอ							
Exposure S	Scenario I	Format (2) addı	ressing	uses carried out by	consum	ers		
1. Title								
Free short tit	tle			Consumer use of CO <sub>2</sub>	absorbent	in breathing appa	ratuses	
		on use descripto	r	SU21, PC2 , ERC8b				
		ities covered		Filling of the formulatio	n into the c	artridge		
				Use of closed circuit br		-		
				Cleaning of equipment				
Assessment	Method*			Human health				
				•		•	oral and dermal exposure.	
				The inhalation exposur	e has beer	n assessed by the	Dutch model (van	
				Hemmen, 1992).				
				Environment				
2. Operational conditions and risk management measure						ent is provided.		
RMM			-	ar form. Furthermore, a		·		
					e breathing	g cycle calcium di	hydroxide will be quickly	
DO/EDO		with CO <sub>2</sub> to form t			<b>3</b> \l		(FDO)	
PC/ERC				o article categories (AC				
PC 2				aratus for e.g. recreation osorbent and CO <sub>2</sub> will qu			ne as CO <sub>2</sub> absorbent. The	
			-	-	•		re-breathed again, after	
	-	of oxygen.	Tuniyulo	Aide to form the carbone	ito. The oc	D2-IICC all call bc	re-breathed again, and	
			The abs	orbent will be discarded	after each	use and refilled b	efore each dive.	
ERC 8b	_			g in inclusion into or onto				
		sumers expos		5				
Product char		oundro oxpor	Juio					
Description (		Concentration	of the	Physical state of	Dustine	ss (if relevant)	Packaging design	
preparation	or the	substance in th		the preparation	Duotinioso (ii roiovant)		i ackaging acsign	
ртораналог		preparation		and proparation				
CO <sub>2</sub> absorber	nt	78 - 84%		Solid, granular	Very low dustiness		4.5, 18 kg canister	
-		Depending on the	ne	, 0	-	n by 10 %	, 3	
		application the r	main		compare	d to powder)		
		component has			Dust formation cannot			
		different additive			be ruled out during the			
		A specific amou			filling of the scrubber			
		water is always	added		cartridge	).		
"!! !! 00		(14-18%).		0 "1			401 : 1 : 1:	
"Used" CO₂ a	bsorbent	~ 20%		Solid, granular		dustiness	1-3 kg in breathing	
					,	n by 10 %	apparatus	
Amounts us	ad				compare	d to powder)		
		preathing apparatu	IS I	1-3 kg depending on th	e kind of h	reathing annarati	IS	
		n of use/exposur		1 5 kg dopending on the	io kind of b	Todaming apparate	4 <del>0</del>	
Description (				on of exposure per eve	nt	frequency of e	vents	
Filling of the f				3 min per filling, in sum			ve (up to 4 times)	
cartridge			Ju. 1.0	perg, odili	. 5	25.5.6 54611 411	- (56 10 . 111100)	
Use of closed circuit breathing 1-2 h Up to 4 dives a day						dav		
apparatus							•	
Cleaning and	emptying o	of equipment	< 15 m	in		After each dive	(up to 4 times)	
		uenced by risk m					,	
Description		Population exp		Breathing rate	Expose	d body part	Corresponding skin	
task							area [cm²]	
Filling of the		adult		1.25 m³/hr (light	hands		840	
formulation in	to the			working activity)			(REACH guidance	
cartridge							R.15, men)	
Use of closed					-		-	
breathing app	paratus	İ			1			



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Cleaning and emptying of equipment		hands	840 (REACH guidance R.15, men)
Other given operational condition	ons affecting consumer	rs exposure	
Description of the task	Indoor/outdoor	Room volume	Air exchange rate
Filling of the formulation into the cartridge	NR	NR	NR
Use of closed circuit breathing apparatus	-	-	-
Cleaning and emptying of equipment	NR	NR	NR

## Conditions and measures related to information and behavioural advice to consumers

Do not get in eyes, on skin, or on clothing. Do not breathe dust

Keep container tightly closed as to avoid the soda lime to dry out.

Keep out of reach of children.

Wash thoroughly after handling.

In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice.

Do not mix with acids.

Carefully read the instructions of the breathing apparatus to assure a proper use of the breathing apparatus.

## Conditions and measures related to personal protection and hygiene

Wear suitable gloves, goggles and protective clothes during handling. Use a filtering half mask (mask type FFP2 acc. to EN 149).

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

#### **Product characteristics**

Not relevant for exposure assessment

Amounts used\*

Not relevant for exposure assessment

#### Frequency and duration of use

Not relevant for exposure assessment

## Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Default river flow and dilution

## Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Indoor

# Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant

Default size of municipal sewage system/treatment plant and sludge treatment technique

#### Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Not relevant for exposure assessment

# Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Not relevant for exposure assessment

#### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived noeffect level) and is given in parentheses below. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the acute DNEL for lime substances of 4 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction is a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481. Since lime substances are classified as irritating to skin, and eyes a qualitative assessment has been performed for dermal exposure and exposure to the eye.

Due to the very specialised kind of consumers (divers filling their own CO<sub>2</sub> scrubber) it can be assumed that instructions will be taken into account to reduce exposure

## Human exposure

Filling of the formulation into the cartridge

Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.
Dermal	-	Qualitative assessment
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human
		exposure is expected. However, dermal contact to dust from
		loading of granular soda lime or direct contact to the granules
		cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn during
		application. This may occasionally result in mild irritation easily
		avoided by prompt rinsing with water.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Inhalation	Dust  Small took: 1.2 ug/m³ (2 v 10-4)	Qualitative assessment If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is expected. Dust from loading of the granular soda lime is expected to be minimal, therefore eye exposure will be minimal even without protective goggles. Nevertheless, prompt rinsing with water and seeking medical advice after accidental exposure is
	Small took: 1 2 µg/m3 /2 × 10-4\	advisable.
	Small task: 1.2 μg/m³ (3 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ) Large task: 12 μg/m³ (0.003)	Quantitative assessment Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section 9.0.3.1 above) and applying a dust reduction factor of 10 for the granular form.
Use of closed circuit	breathing apparatus	
	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.
23	-	Qualitative assessment  Due to the product characteristics, it can be concluded that dermal exposure to the absorbent in breathing apparatuses is non-existent.
Eye	-	Qualitative assessment  Due to the product characteristics, it can be concluded that eye exposure to the absorbent in breathing apparatuses is non-existent.
Inhalation	negligible	Qualitative assessment
		Instructional advice is provided to remove any dust before finishing the assembly of the scrubber. Divers filling their own CO <sub>2</sub> scrubber represent a specific subpopulation within consumers. Proper use of equipment and materials is in their own interest; hence it can be assumed that instructions will be taken into
		account.  Due to the product characteristics and the instructional advices given, it can be concluded that inhalation exposure to the absorbent during the use of the breathing apparatus is negligible.
Cleaning and emptying	ng of equipment	
Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.
	Dust and splashes	Qualitative assessment If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is expected. However, dermal contact to dust from emptying granular soda lime or direct contact to the granules cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn during cleaning. Furthermore, during the cleaning of the cartridge with water contact to moistened soda lime may occur. This may occasionally result in mild irritation easily avoided by immediate rinsing of with water.
	Dust and splashes	Qualitative assessment If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is expected. However, contact to dust from emptying granular soda limes or during the cleaning of the cartridge with water contact to moisten soda limes may occur in very rare occasions. Prompt rinsing with water and seeking medical advice after accidental exposure is advisable.
	Small task: 0.3 μg/m³ (7.5 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ) Large task: 3 μg/m³ (7.5 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> )	Quantitative assessment Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the Dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section 9.0.3.1 above) and applying a dust reduction factor of 10 for the granular form and a factor of 4 to account for the reduced amount of lime in the "used" absorbent.



Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021

The pH impact due to use of lime in breathing apparatuses is expected to be negligible. The influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is often neutralized anyway and lime may even be used beneficially for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs. Since the pH of the influent of the municipal treatment plant is circum neutral, the pH impact is negligible on the receiving environmental compartments, such as surface water, sediment and terrestrial compartment.

# ES number 9.14: Consumer use of garden lime/fertilizer

Exposure Scenario	Format	(2) add	ressing	uses carried	out by	consum	ers		
1. Title									
Free short title				Consumer use	of garde	en lime/fert	ilizer		
Systematic title based	on use	descripto	or		Consumer use of garden lime/fertilizer SU21, PC20, PC12, ERC8e				
Processes, tasks activ			,	Manual applica			e fertilizer		
Troopso, tacks active		70.00		Post-application	-		, 1011111201		
Assessment Method*				Human health	-				
						nt has bee	n performed	d for c	oral and dermal exposure
									posure has been
				assessed by the					
				Environment					
				A qualitative jι	ustificatio	n assessm	ent is provid	ded.	
2. Operational con	dition	s and r	isk ma	ınagement r	neasur	es			
RMM				ated risk manag					
PC/ERC					to artic	e categor	ies (AC) an	d env	vironmental release
		categorie							
PC 20				-	-		d (worst case	e) and	d soil incorporation.
				exposure to play					
PC 12							d (worst cas	e) an	d soil incorporation.
550.0				exposure to play					
ERC 8e Wide dispersive of				outdoor use of re	eactive su	ıbstances	in open syst	ems	
2.1 Control of consumers exposure									
Product characteristic									
Description of the		ntration		Physical state of Dustiness (if rele		ss (if releva	ınt)	Packaging design	
preparation	substa prepa	ance in th ration	10	the preparation					
Garden lime	100 %			Solid, powder	High dusty		ty		Bulk in bags or containers of 5, 10 and 25 kg
Fertilizer	Up to 2	20 %		Solid, granula	r	Low dusty			Bulk in bags or containers of 5, 10 and 25 kg
Amounts used									<u> </u>
Description of the prep	aration			Amount used	Amount used per event Source of information			information	
Garden lime							nation and direction of use		
Fertilizer				100g /m² (up to	o 1kg/m²	(compost)	) Inform	ation	and direction of use
Frequency and duratio	n of use	e/exposu	re						
Description of the task			Durati	on of exposure	per eve	nt	frequency	y of e	vents
Manual application			Minute	es-hours			1 tasks per year		
			Depen	nding on the size of the treated		eated			
			area						
Post-application	_		exposi	ddlers playing o ure factors hand		EPA		Relevant for up to 7 days after application	
Human factors not infl									
Description of the Population exposed task		Breathing rat	te	Exposed	osed body part		Corresponding skin area [cm²]		
Manual application Adult			1.25 m³/hr Hands		Hands a	lands and forearms		1900 (DIY fact sheet)	
Post-application		Toddlers		NR		NR			NR
Other given operationa			cting c		osure				
Description of the task			r/outdo			volume		Air	exchange rate
Manual application		outdo			1 m³ (p		ace, small	ace, small NR	
Post-application		outdo	or		NR	23114 1110 1	,	NR	
Post-application outdoor			INIX			1			



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

#### Conditions and measures related to information and behavioural advice to consumers

Do not get in eyes, on skin, or on clothing. Do not breathe dust. Use a filtering half mask (mask type FFP2 acc. to EN 149). Keep container closed and out of reach of children.

In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice.

Wash thoroughly after handling.

Do not mix with acids and always add limes to water and not water to limes.

Incorporation of the garden lime or fertilizer into the soil with subsequent watering will facilitate the effect.

#### Conditions and measures related to personal protection and hygiene

Wear suitable gloves, goggles and protection clothes.

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

#### **Product characteristics**

Drift: 1 % (very worst-case estimate based on data from dust measurements in air as a function of the distance from application)

### **Amounts used**

Amount used	Ca(OH)2	2,244 kg/ha	In professional agricultural soil protection, it is
	CaO	1,700 kg/ha	recommended not to exceed 1700 kg CaO/ha or
	CaO.MgO	1,478 kg/ha	the corresponding amount of 2244 kg
	Ca(OH)2.Mg(OH)2	2,030 kg/ha	Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub> /ha. This rate is three times the amount
	CaCO3.MgO	2,149 kg/ha	needed to compensate the annual losses of lime
	Ca(OH)2.MgO	1,774 kg/ha	by leaching. For this reason, the value of 1700 kg
	Natural hydraulic lime	2,420 kg/ha	CaO/ha or the corresponding amount of 2244 kg Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub> /ha is used in this dossier as the basis
			for the risk assessment. The amount used for the
			other lime variants can be calculated based on
			their composition and the molecular weight.

#### Frequency and duration of use

1 day/year (one application per year); Multiple applications during the year are allowed, provided the total yearly amount of 1,700 kg/ha is not exceeded (CaO)

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Not relevant for exposure assessment

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Outdoor use of products Soil mixing depth: 20 cm

### Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release

There are no direct releases to adjacent surface waters.

# Technical conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil

Drift should be minimised.

# Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant

Not relevant for exposure assessment

# Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Not relevant for exposure assessment

## Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Not relevant for exposure assessment

## 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived noeffect level) and is given in parentheses below. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the long-term DNEL for lime substances of 1 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction is a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481. Since lime substances are classified as irritating to skin and eyes a qualitative assessment has been performed for dermal exposure and exposure to the eye.

### Human exposure

Manual application			
Route of	Exposure estimate Method used, comments		
exposure			
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment	
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.	



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Dermal	Dust, powder	Qualitative assessment
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human
		exposure is expected. However, dermal contact to dust from
		application of lime substances or by direct contact to the limes
		cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn during
		application. Due to the relatively long application time, skin irritation
		would be expected. This can easily be avoided by immediate
		rinsing with water. It would be assumed that consumers who had
		experience of skin irritation will protect themselves. Therefore, any
		occurring skin irritation, which will be reversible, can be assumed
		to be non-recurring.
Eye	Dust	Qualitative assessment
,		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human
		exposure is expected. Dust from surfacing with lime cannot be
		excluded if no protective goggles are used. Prompt rinsing with
		water and seeking medical advice after accidental exposure is
		advisable.
Inhalation (garden	Small task: 12 μg/m³ (0.0012)	Quantitative assessment
lime)	Large task: 120 µg/m³ (0.012)	No model describing the application of powders by shovel/hand is
		available, therefore, read-across from the dust formation model
		while pouring powders has been used as a worst case.
		Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the
		dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section 9.0.3.1
		above).
Inhalation	Small task: 0.24 μg/m³ (2.4 * 10 <sup>-4</sup> )	Quantitative assessment
(fertilizer)	Large task: 2.4 μg/m³ (0.0024)	No model describing the application of powders by shovel/hand is
		available, therefore, read across from the dust formation model
		while pouring powders has been used as a worst case.
		Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the
		dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section 9.0.3.1
		above) and applying a dust reduction factor of 10 for the granular
		form and a factor of 5 to account for the reduced amount of limes
		in fertilizer.

#### Post-application

According to the PSD (UK Pesticide Safety Directorate, now called CRD) post-application exposure need to be addressed for products which are applied in parks or amateur products used to treat lawns and plants grown in private gardens. In this case exposure of children, who may have access to these areas soon after treatment, needs to be assessed. The US EPA model predicts the post-application exposure to products used in private gardens (e.g. lawns) by toddlers crawling on the treated area and also via the oral route through hand-to-mouth activities.

Garden lime or fertilizer including lime is used to treat acidic soil. Therefore, after application to the soil and subsequent watering the hazard driving effect of lime (alkalinity) will be quickly neutralized. Exposure to lime substances will be negligible within a short time after application.

### **Environmental exposure**

No quantitative environmental exposure assessment is carried out because the operational conditions and risk management measures for consumer use are less stringent than those outlined for professional agricultural soil protection. Moreover, the neutralisation/pH-effect is the intended and desired effect in the soil compartment. Releases to wastewater are not expected.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.15: Consumer use of lime substances as water treatment chemicals

treatment on								
Exposure Scenario I	Forma	it (2) addı	ressing	uses carried out by	consume	ers		
1. Title								
Free short title				Consumer use of lime s	substances	as water treatme	ent chemicals	
Systematic title based	on use	descripto	r	SU21, PC20, PC37, ERC8b				
Processes, tasks activ						formulations into	container/preparation of	
				lime milk				
				Application of lime milk	to water			
Assessment Method*				Human health:				
				A qualitative assessme	nt has bee	n performed for c	ral and dermal exposure	
				as well as for exposure of the eye. Dust exposure has been assessed by				
				the Dutch model (van Hemmen, 1992).				
				Environment:				
				A qualitative justification assessment is provided.				
2. Operational con	dition	ns and ri	sk ma	nagement measure	es			
RMM		No furthe	r produc	t integrated risk manager	nent meas	ures are in place		
PC/ERC				tivity referring to article				
		categorie			-			
PC 20/37				g (transfer of lime substa	nces (solid	)) of lime reactor	for water treatment.	
				ubstances (solid) into cor	ntainer for t	further application	١.	
				ion of lime milk to water.				
ERC 8b		Wide disp	ersive ir	ndoor use of reactive sub	stances in	open systems		
2.1 Control of cons	sume	rs expos	sure					
Product characteristic								
Description of the	Conc	entration	of the	Physical state of	Dustines	ss (if relevant)	Packaging design	
preparation	subs	tance in th	ne .	the preparation		,		
	prepa	aration						
Water treatment	Up to	100 %		Solid, fine powder high		tiness	Bulk in bags or	
chemical					•		buckets/containers.	
					DIY fact	sheet see		
					section 9	.0.3)		
Water treatment	Up to	99 %		Solid, granular of	low dustiness		Bulk-tank lorry or in	
chemical				different size	-	n by 10%	"Big Bags" or in sacks	
				(D50 value 0.7	compare	d to powder)		
				D50 value 1.75				
				D50 value 3.08)				
Amounts used								
Description of the prep				Amount used per ever				
Water treatment chemica	al in lim	e reactor fo	or	depending on the size of	of the wate	r reactor to be fill	ed (~ 100g /L)	
aquaria	. 1 5			d	£41. :		- d / t- d O L " \	
Water treatment chemica	ai in lim	e reactor fo	or	depending on the size of	or the wate	r reactor to be fill	ea (~up to 1.2 kg/L)	
drinking water	li = =6! -			20 - / 51				
Lime milk for further app				~ 20 g / 5L				
Frequency and duratio	n of us	se/exposur			-4	fun municipality	vente	
Description of task	/loc-!!	a fillias		on of exposure per event frequency of e		vents		
Preparation of lime milk	(ıoadın	g, tilling	1.33 m					
and refilling)			`	act sheet, RIVM, Chapter 2.4.2 1task/week				
Dronwice application of I	imo mi	lk to		and loading of powders)				
Dropwise application of lime milk to Several water		ii minutes - nours		1 tasks/ month				
Human factors not influ	Ioncoc	hy rick m	anago	ont				
					Evnos	ad bady part	Corresponding akin	
Description of the task	Population exposed		ooseu	Breathing rate	area [cm²]			
Preparation of lime	adult			1.25 m³/hr	Half of	both hands	430	
milk (loading, filling							(RIVM report	
and refilling)							320104007)	
Dropwise application	adult			NR	Hands		860	
of lime milk to water							(RIVM report	
							320104007)	
Other given operationa	l cond	itions affe	cting co	onsumers exposure				



Version: 5.0/EN

**Revision date: December 2020** 

Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Description of the task	Indoor/outdoor	Room volume	Air exchange rate
Preparation of lime milk (loading,	Indoor/outdoor	1 m³ (personal space, small	0.6 hr <sup>-1</sup> (unspecified room
filling and refilling)		area around the user)	indoor)
Dropwise application of lime milk	indoor	NR	NR
to water			

#### Conditions and measures related to information and behavioural advice to consumers

Do not get in eyes, on skin, or on clothing. Do not breathe dust

Keep container closed and out of reach of children.

Use only with adequate ventilation.

In case of contact with eyes, rinse immediately with plenty of water and seek medical advice.

Wash thoroughly after handling.

Do not mix with acids and always add limes to water and not water to limes.

### Conditions and measures related to personal protection and hygiene

Wear suitable gloves, goggles and protective clothes. Use a filtering half mask (mask type FFP2 acc. to EN 149).

## 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

#### **Product characteristics**

Not relevant for exposure assessment

#### Amounts used\*

Not relevant for exposure assessment

#### Frequency and duration of use

Not relevant for exposure assessment

#### Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Default river flow and dilution

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Indoo

# Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant

Default size of municipal sewage system/treatment plant and sludge treatment technique

# Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Not relevant for exposure assessment

# Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Not relevant for exposure assessment

## 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

The risk characterisation ratio (RCR) is the quotient of the refined exposure estimate and the respective DNEL (derived noeffect level) and is given in parentheses below. For inhalation exposure, the RCR is based on the acute DNEL for lime substances of 4 mg/m³ (as respirable dust) and the respective inhalation exposure estimate (as inhalable dust). Thus, the RCR includes an additional safety margin since the respirable fraction is a sub-fraction of the inhalable fraction according to EN 481. Since lime substances are classified as irritating to skin and eyes a qualitative assessment has been performed for dermal exposure and exposure to the eye.

#### Human exposure

Route of exposure Exposure estimate		Method used, comments		
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment		
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.		
Dermal (powder)	small task: 0.1 µg/cm² (-)	Qualitative assessment		
	large task: 1 μg/cm² (-)	If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is		
		expected. However, dermal contact to dust from loading of limes or direct		
		contact to the lime cannot be excluded if no protective gloves are worn		
		during application. This may occasionally result in mild irritation easily		
		avoided by prompt rinsing with water.		
		Quantitative assessment		
		The constant rate model of ConsExpo has been used. The contact rate to		
		dust formed while pouring powder has been taken from the DIY-fact sheet		
		(RIVM report 320104007). For granules the exposure estimate will be even		
		lower.		
Eye	Dust	Qualitative assessment		
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human exposure is		
		expected. Dust from loading of the limes cannot be excluded if no protective		
		goggles are used. Prompt rinsing with water and seeking medical advice		
		after accidental exposure is advisable.		
Inhalation (powder)	Small task: 12 µg/m³ (0.003)	Quantitative assessment		
	Large task: 120 μg/m³ (0.03)	Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the Dutch		
		model (van Hemmen, 1992, as described in section 9.0.3.1 above).		
Inhalation (granules)	Small task: 1.2 μg/m³ (0.0003)	Quantitative assessment		
	Large task: 12 μg/m³ (0.003)	Dust formation while pouring the powder is addressed by using the Dutch		
Ì		model (van Hemmen, 1992 as described in section 9.0.3.1 above) and		
1		applying a dust reduction factor of 10 for the granular form.		



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

Dropwise application of lime milk to water			
Route of exposure	Exposure estimate	Method used, comments	
Oral	-	Qualitative assessment	
		Oral exposure does not occur as part of the intended product use.	
Dermal	Droplets or splashes	Qualitative assessment	
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human	
		exposure is expected. However, splashes on the skin cannot be	
		excluded if no protective gloves are worn during application.	
		Splashes may occasionally result in mild irritation easily avoided	
		by immediate rinsing of the hands in water.	
Eye	Droplets or splashes	Qualitative assessment	
		If risk reduction measures are taken into account no human	
		exposure is expected. However, splashes into the eyes cannot be	
		excluded if no protective goggles are worn during the application.	
		However, it is rare for eye irritation to occur as a result of	
		exposure to a clear solution of calcium hydroxide (lime water) and	
		mild irritation can easily be avoided by immediate rinsing of the	
		eyes with water.	
Inhalation	-	Qualitative assessment	
		Not expected, as the vapour pressure of limes in water is low and	
		generation of mists or aerosols does not take place.	
Environmental expos	ure		

The pH impact due to use of lime in cosmetics is expected to be negligible. The influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is often neutralized anyway and lime may even be used beneficially for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs. Since the pH of the influent of the municipal treatment plant is circum neutral, the pH impact is negligible on the receiving environmental compartments, such as surface water, sediment and terrestrial compartment.



Version: 5.0/EN

Revision date: December 2020 Printing Date: January 26, 2021

# ES number 9.15: Consumer use of cosmetics containing lime substances

Exposure Scenario Format (2) addressing uses carried out by consumers	
1. Title	
Free short title	Consumer use of cosmetics containing limes
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU21, PC39 , ERC8a
Processes, tasks activities covered	-
Assessment Method*	Human health: According to Article 14(5) (b) of regulation (EC) 1907/2006 risks to human health need not be considered for substances included in cosmetic products within the scope of Directive 76/768/EC. Environment A qualitative justification assessment is provided.

#### 2. Operational conditions and risk management measures

ERC 8a Wide dispersive indoor use of processing aids in open systems

#### 2.1 Control of consumers exposure

#### **Product characteristic**

Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.

#### **Amounts used**

Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.

## Frequency and duration of use/exposure

Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.

# Human factors not influenced by risk management

Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.

# Other given operational conditions affecting consumers exposure

Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.

# Conditions and measures related to information and behavioural advice to consumers

Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered

# Conditions and measures related to personal protection and hygiene

Not relevant, as the risk to human health from this use does not need to be considered.

#### 2.2 Control of environmental exposure

# **Product characteristics**

Not relevant for exposure assessment

#### Amounts used\*

Not relevant for exposure assessment

# Frequency and duration of use

Not relevant for exposure assessment

# Environment factors not influenced by risk management

Default river flow and dilution

# Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure

Indoor

#### Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant

Default size of municipal sewage system/treatment plant and sludge treatment technique

#### Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal

Not relevant for exposure assessment

## Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste

Not relevant for exposure assessment

#### 3. Exposure estimation and reference to its source

### Human exposure

Human exposure to cosmetics will be addressed by other legislation and therefore need not be addressed under regulation (EC) 1907/2006 according to Article 14(5) (b) of this regulation.

# Environmental exposure

The pH impact due to use of lime in cosmetics is expected to be negligible. The influent of a municipal wastewater treatment plant is often neutralized anyway and lime may even be used beneficially for pH control of acid wastewater streams that are treated in biological WWTPs. Since the pH of the influent of the municipal treatment plant is circum neutral, the pH impact is negligible on the receiving environmental compartments, such as surface water, sediment and terrestrial compartment.